

*Lecture 3: Some Theory for Estimation
with Shape Constraints*

Jon A. Wellner

University of Washington

- Talks at **YES-I Conference on Shape Restricted Inference**
Eurandom,
The Netherlands, October 8-10, 2007
- *Email: jaw@stat.washington.edu*
<http://www.stat.washington.edu/jaw/jaw.research.html>
- Based on joint work with Piet Groeneboom, Geurt Jongbloed;
former Ph.D. Students Jian Huang, Moulinath Banerjee,
Fadoua Balabdaoui, Marloes Maathuis, and Shuguang Song;
current Ph.D. student Marios Pavlides, current post-doc
Hanna Jankowski;
and the work of many others.

Outline, Lecture 3

1. Illustration of the pointwise limit theory pattern:
convex hazards
2. Illustration of the pointwise limit theory pattern:
log-concave densities
3. A functional of interest:
estimation of the mode
4. Illustration of the pointwise limit theory pattern:
competing risks with current status data
5. Partial illustration of the pointwise limit theory pattern:
 k -monotone densities
6. Partial illustration of the pointwise limit theory pattern:
distribution functions and monotone densities on \mathbb{R}^2
7. Summary: problems and directions

1. Convex hazards

Nonparametric methods for hazard rate functions.

- Grenander ('56): decreasing case
- Bray, Crawford and Proschan (1967): MLE for U-shaped hazard functions
- Prakasa Rao (1970); Groeneboom (1985); Banerjee (2007): asymptotics
- step-functions
- $n^{-1/3}$ local convergence rates

Here we assume that

$$h(t) \equiv \frac{f(t)}{1 - F(t)} \quad \text{is convex.}$$

Let $H(t) = \int_0^t h(s)ds$.

Then

$$\mathbf{L}_n(h) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left\{ h(X_i) \exp[-H(X_i)] \right\},$$

and hence the MLE is found by

$$\hat{h}_n = \operatorname{argmin}_{h \geq 0, \text{convex}} \left\{ \int_0^\infty (H - \log h) d\mathbb{F}_n \right\}.$$

Define Empirical CDF and Hazard:

$$\mathbb{F}_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{[0,t]}(X_i), \quad \mathbb{H}_n(t) = \int_0^t \frac{1}{1-\mathbb{F}_n(s-)} d\mathbb{F}_n(s).$$

Next, fix a $T > 0$. Then the LSE \tilde{h}_n is defined on $[0, T]$ as

$$\tilde{h}_n = \operatorname{argmin}_{h \geq 0, \text{convex}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T h^2(t) dt - \int_0^T h(t) d\mathbb{H}_n(t) \right\}$$

Let $\tilde{H}_n(t) = \int_0^t \tilde{h}_n(s) ds$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_n(t) = \int_0^t \tilde{H}_n(s) ds$.

Also, let $\mathbb{Y}_n(t) = \int_0^t \mathbb{H}_n(s) ds$.

The LSE must satisfy:

- $\tilde{H}(T) = \mathbb{H}_n(T)$ & $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_n(T) = \mathbb{Y}_n(T)$
- $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_n(t) \geq \mathbb{Y}_n(t)$ for all $t \in [0, T]$
- $\int_0^T (\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_n - \mathbb{Y}_n)(t) d \left[\tilde{h}_n \right]' (t) = 0$.

Theorem. Suppose that $h \in \mathcal{K}$ is the true hazard function. Suppose that $h(x_0) > 0$, $h''(x_0) > 0$, and h'' is continuous in a neighborhood of x_0 . Then for $\bar{h}_n = \tilde{h}_n$ or $\bar{h}_n = \hat{h}_n$

$$\begin{pmatrix} n^{2/5} \{\bar{h}_n(x_0) - h(x_0)\} \\ n^{1/5} \{\bar{h}'_n(x_0) - h'(x_0)\} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow_d \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \mathcal{I}^{(2)}(0) \\ c_2 \mathcal{I}^{(3)}(0) \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$c_1 = \left(\frac{h^2(x_0)h''(x_0)}{24S^2(x_0)} \right)^{1/5} \quad \text{and} \quad c_2 = \left(\frac{h(x_0)h''(x_0)^3}{24^3 S(x_0)} \right)^{1/5},$$

for both $\bar{h} = \tilde{h}_n$ and $\bar{h}_n = \hat{h}_n$, where \mathcal{I} is the *invelope function* of $\mathbb{Y}(t) \equiv \int_0^t W(s)ds + t^4$: i.e.

- $\mathcal{I}(t) \geq \mathbb{Y}(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
- $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\mathcal{I}(t) - \mathbb{Y}(t)) d\mathcal{I}^{(3)}(t) = 0$.
- $\mathcal{I}^{(2)}$ is convex.

2. Log-concave densities on \mathbb{R}

Suppose that

$$f(x) = \exp(\varphi(x))$$

where φ is concave. The class of all densities f on \mathbb{R} of the form is called the class of *log-concave* densities, $\mathcal{F}_{\log\text{-concave}}$.

- MLE \hat{f}_n exists and can be computed.

2. Log-concave densities on \mathbb{R}

Suppose that

$$f(x) = \exp(\varphi(x))$$

where φ is concave. The class of all densities f on \mathbb{R} of the form is called the class of *log-concave* densities, $\mathcal{F}_{\log\text{-concave}}$.

- MLE \hat{f}_n exists and can be computed.
- Consistency and rates of convergence (Dümbgen and Rufibach, 2007).

2. Log-concave densities on \mathbb{R}

Suppose that

$$f(x) = \exp(\varphi(x))$$

where φ is concave. The class of all densities f on \mathbb{R} of the form is called the class of *log-concave* densities, $\mathcal{F}_{\log\text{-concave}}$.

- MLE \hat{f}_n exists and can be computed.
- Consistency and rates of convergence (Dümbgen and Rufibach, 2007).
- Pointwise limit theory? **Yes!** Balabdaoui and Rufibach (2007)

- Assumptions

- Assumptions
 - f is log-concave

- Assumptions
 - f is log-concave
 - $f(x_0) > 0$

- Assumptions

- f is log-concave

- $f(x_0) > 0$

- If $\varphi''(x_0) \neq 0$, then $k = 2$;

- otherwise, k is the smallest integer such that

- $\varphi^{(j)}(x_0) = 0, j = 2, \dots, k - 1, \varphi^{(k)}(x_0) \neq 0.$

- Assumptions

- f is log-concave

- $f(x_0) > 0$

- If $\varphi''(x_0) \neq 0$, then $k = 2$;

- otherwise, k is the smallest integer such that

- $\varphi^{(j)}(x_0) = 0, j = 2, \dots, k - 1, \varphi^{(k)}(x_0) \neq 0.$

- $\varphi^{(k)}$ is continuous in a neighborhood of x_0 .

- Assumptions
 - f is log-concave
 - $f(x_0) > 0$
 - If $\varphi''(x_0) \neq 0$, then $k = 2$;
otherwise, k is the smallest integer such that
 $\varphi^{(j)}(x_0) = 0, j = 2, \dots, k - 1, \varphi^{(k)}(x_0) \neq 0$.
 - $\varphi^{(k)}$ is continuous in a neighborhood of x_0 .
- Example $f(x) = C \exp(-x^4)$ with $C = \sqrt{2}\Gamma(3/4)/\pi$: $k = 4$.

- Assumptions
 - f is log-concave
 - $f(x_0) > 0$
 - If $\varphi''(x_0) \neq 0$, then $k = 2$;
otherwise, k is the smallest integer such that $\varphi^{(j)}(x_0) = 0$, $j = 2, \dots, k - 1$, $\varphi^{(k)}(x_0) \neq 0$.
 - $\varphi^{(k)}$ is continuous in a neighborhood of x_0 .
- Example $f(x) = C \exp(-x^4)$ with $C = \sqrt{2}\Gamma(3/4)/\pi$: $k = 4$.
- Driving process: $Y_k(t) = \int_0^t W(s)ds - t^{k+2}$.

- Assumptions
 - f is log-concave
 - $f(x_0) > 0$
 - If $\varphi''(x_0) \neq 0$, then $k = 2$;
otherwise, k is the smallest integer such that
 $\varphi^{(j)}(x_0) = 0, j = 2, \dots, k - 1, \varphi^{(k)}(x_0) \neq 0$.
 - $\varphi^{(k)}$ is continuous in a neighborhood of x_0 .
- Example $f(x) = C \exp(-x^4)$ with $C = \sqrt{2}\Gamma(3/4)/\pi$: $k = 4$.
- Driving process: $Y_k(t) = \int_0^t W(s)ds - t^{k+2}$.
- Process H_k determined by limit Fenchel relations:

- Assumptions
 - f is log-concave
 - $f(x_0) > 0$
 - If $\varphi''(x_0) \neq 0$, then $k = 2$;
otherwise, k is the smallest integer such that
 $\varphi^{(j)}(x_0) = 0, j = 2, \dots, k - 1, \varphi^{(k)}(x_0) \neq 0$.
 - $\varphi^{(k)}$ is continuous in a neighborhood of x_0 .
- Example $f(x) = C \exp(-x^4)$ with $C = \sqrt{2}\Gamma(3/4)/\pi$: $k = 4$.
- Driving process: $Y_k(t) = \int_0^t W(s)ds - t^{k+2}$.
- Process H_k determined by limit Fenchel relations:
 - $H_k(t) \leq Y_k(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$

- Assumptions
 - f is log-concave
 - $f(x_0) > 0$
 - If $\varphi''(x_0) \neq 0$, then $k = 2$;
otherwise, k is the smallest integer such that
 $\varphi^{(j)}(x_0) = 0, j = 2, \dots, k - 1, \varphi^{(k)}(x_0) \neq 0$.
 - $\varphi^{(k)}$ is continuous in a neighborhood of x_0 .
- Example $f(x) = C \exp(-x^4)$ with $C = \sqrt{2}\Gamma(3/4)/\pi$: $k = 4$.
- Driving process: $Y_k(t) = \int_0^t W(s)ds - t^{k+2}$.
- Process H_k determined by limit Fenchel relations:
 - $H_k(t) \leq Y_k(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$
 - $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (H_k(t) - Y_k(t))dH_k^{(3)}(t) = 0$.

- Assumptions
 - f is log-concave
 - $f(x_0) > 0$
 - If $\varphi''(x_0) \neq 0$, then $k = 2$;
otherwise, k is the smallest integer such that
 $\varphi^{(j)}(x_0) = 0, j = 2, \dots, k - 1, \varphi^{(k)}(x_0) \neq 0$.
 - $\varphi^{(k)}$ is continuous in a neighborhood of x_0 .
- Example $f(x) = C \exp(-x^4)$ with $C = \sqrt{2}\Gamma(3/4)/\pi$: $k = 4$.
- Driving process: $Y_k(t) = \int_0^t W(s)ds - t^{k+2}$.
- Process H_k determined by limit Fenchel relations:
 - $H_k(t) \leq Y_k(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$
 - $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (H_k(t) - Y_k(t))dH_k^{(3)}(t) = 0$.
 - $H_k^{(2)}$ is concave.

- Pointwise limit theorem for $\hat{f}_n(x_0)$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} n^{k/(2k+1)}(\hat{f}_n(x_0) - f(x_0)) \\ n^{(k-1)/(2k+1)}(\hat{f}'_n(x_0) - f'(x_0)) \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow_d \begin{pmatrix} c_k H_k^{(2)}(0) \\ d_k H_k^{(3)}(0) \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$c_k \equiv \left(\frac{f(x_0)^{k+1} |\varphi^{(k)}(x_0)|}{(k+2)!} \right)^{1/(2k+1)},$$

$$d_k \equiv \left(\frac{f(x_0)^{k+2} |\varphi^{(k)}(x_0)|^3}{[(k+2)!]^3} \right)^{1/(2k+1)}.$$

- Pointwise limit theorem for $\widehat{\varphi}_n(x_0)$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} n^{k/(2k+1)}(\widehat{\varphi}_n(x_0) - \varphi(x_0)) \\ n^{(k-1)/(2k+1)}(\widehat{\varphi}'_n(x_0) - \varphi'(x_0)) \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow_d \begin{pmatrix} C_k H_k^{(2)}(0) \\ D_k H_k^{(3)}(0) \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$C_k \equiv \left(\frac{|\varphi^{(k)}(x_0)|}{f(x_0)^k (k+2)!} \right)^{1/(2k+1)},$$

$$D_k \equiv \left(\frac{|\varphi^{(k)}(x_0)|^3}{f(x_0)^{k+1} [(k+2)!]^3} \right)^{1/(2k+1)}.$$

3. Estimation of the mode

Let x_m be the *mode* of the log-concave density f , recalling that $\mathcal{F}_{\log\text{-concave}} \subset \mathcal{F}_{\text{unimodal}}$. Lower bound calculations using G. Jongbloed's perturbation of a convex decreasing density, but now perturbing φ yields:

Proposition. If $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\log\text{-concave}}$ satisfies $f(x_m) > 0$, $f''(x_m) < 0$, and f'' is continuous in a neighborhood of x_m , and T_n is any estimator of the mode $x_m \equiv \nu(P)$, then with P_n corresponding to $f_{\epsilon_n} \equiv \exp(\varphi_{\epsilon_n})$ with $\epsilon_n \equiv \nu n^{-1/5}$ and $\nu \equiv 2f''(x_m)^2 / (5f(x_m))$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{1/5} \inf_{T_n} \max \{ E_{n, P_n} |T_n - f_{\epsilon_n}(x_m)|, E_{n, P} |T_n - f(x_m)| \} \\ & \geq \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{e10} \right)^{1/5} \left(\frac{f(x_m)}{f''(x_m)^2} \right)^{1/5}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the limit theory of Balabdaoui and Rufibach (2007) noted in the previous section implies that the mode estimator derived from the MLE of \hat{f}_n , namely $\hat{x}_m \equiv \min\{u : \hat{f}_n(u) = \sup_t \hat{f}_n(t)\} \equiv M(\hat{f}_n)$, satisfies

$$n^{1/(2k+1)}(\hat{x}_m - x_m) \rightarrow_d \left(\frac{(4!)^2 f(x_m)}{f''(x_m)^2} \right)^{1/(2k+1)} M(H_k^{(2)})$$

where $M(H_k^{(2)}) = \operatorname{argmax}(H_k^{(2)})$.

Note that when $k = 2$ this agrees with the lower bound calculation, at least up to absolute constants.

4. Competing risks with current status data

See two papers by Groeneboom, Maathuis, and Wellner (2007),
Ann. Statist. to appear:

http://www.stat.washington.edu/jaw/jaw_papers.html

<http://stat.ethz.ch/maathuis/papers/>

5. k –monotone densities

See paper by Balabdaoui and Wellner (2007), *Ann. Statist.*, to appear:

http://www.stat.washington.edu/jaw/jaw_papers.html

6. Distribution functions & monotone densities on \mathbb{R}^2

Monotone densities on \mathbb{R}^d : two types

- Block decreasing: \mathcal{F}_{BD}

Consider **step 8** in the case of mixtures of uniform monotone densities first first:

6. Distribution functions & monotone densities on \mathbb{R}^2

Monotone densities on \mathbb{R}^d : two types

- Block decreasing: \mathcal{F}_{BD}
- Mixtures of uniform densities (on rectangular densities anchored at 0): \mathcal{F}_{SMU}

$$f(\underline{x}) = \int_{(0, \infty)^d} \frac{1}{|\underline{y}|} 1_{(\underline{0}, \underline{y}]}(\underline{x}) dG(\underline{y})$$

for some distribution function G on $(0, \infty)^d$.

Consider **step 8** in the case of mixtures of uniform monotone densities first first:

Proposition 1. (Pavlidis, 2007). Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{F}_{SMU}$ where $\underline{x}_0 \in (0, \infty)^d$ satisfies $f(\underline{x}_0) > 0$, $\partial f(\underline{x}_0)/\partial x_j < 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, d$,

$$(-1)^d \frac{\partial^d f(\underline{x})}{\partial x_1 \cdots \partial x_d} \Big|_{\underline{x}=\underline{x}_0} > 0,$$

and the mixed derivative in the last display is continuous on some neighborhood of \underline{x}_0 . Then there is a sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{F}_{SMU}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{T_n} \max \left\{ E_{f_n} n^{1/3} |T_n - f_n(\underline{x}_0)|, E_f n^{1/3} |T_n - f(\underline{x}_0)| \right\} \\ & \geq \left(\frac{e^{-1} 3^{d-1}}{2^{3d}} \right)^{1/3} \left\{ (-1)^d \frac{\partial^d f(\underline{x})}{\partial x_1 \cdots \partial x_d} \Big|_{\underline{x}=\underline{x}_0} \cdot f(\underline{x}_0) \right\}^{1/3}. \end{aligned}$$

- Rate of convergence is $n^{1/3}$ for all d .
- Constant reduces to the familiar constant when $d = 1$.
- Shuguang Song (2001): estimation of a distribution function F with rectangular “current status” censoring.

Proposition 2. (Pavlidis, 2007). Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{F}_{BD}$ where $\underline{x}_0 \in (0, \infty)^d$ satisfies $f(\underline{x}_0) > 0$,

$$\frac{\partial f(\underline{x}_0)}{\partial x_j} < 0 \quad j = 1, \dots, d,$$

and all the derivatives in the last display are continuous on some neighborhood of \underline{x}_0 . Then there is a sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{F}_{BD}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{T_n} \max \left\{ E_{f_n} n^{1/(d+2)} |T_n - f_n(\underline{x}_0)|, E_f n^{1/(d+2)} |T_n - f(\underline{x}_0)| \right\} \\ & \geq \frac{e^{-1/(d(d+2))}}{4} \left\{ \frac{12f(\underline{x}_0)d^{d+1}}{2^d(d+2)} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^d \left\{ \left| \frac{\partial f(\underline{x})}{\partial x_j} \right|_{\underline{x}=\underline{x}_0} \right\} \right\}^{1/(d+2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\tilde{F}_n(\underline{x}) \equiv \int_{[0, \underline{x}]} \tilde{f}_n(\underline{y}) d\underline{y}.$$

Then the **Fenchel conditions** for the LSE \tilde{f}_n in \mathcal{F}_{SMU} are:

- $\tilde{F}_n(\underline{x}) \geq \mathbb{F}_n(\underline{x})$ for all \underline{x}
- $\int_{(0, \infty)} (\tilde{F}_n(\underline{x}) - \mathbb{F}_n(\underline{x})) d\tilde{f}_n(\underline{x}) = 0$

Localization?

Driving process?

Localization of \mathbb{F}_n : write $|\underline{t}| \equiv \prod_{j=1}^d t_j$.

Let Δ^d denote the d -dimensional difference operator:

$$(\Delta^d g)(\underline{u}, \underline{v}) = \sum_{2^d \text{ corners}} (-1)^{\text{par}(v_j)} g(v_j, v_{j+1}).$$

Then I conjecture that

$$\mathbb{Y}_n^{loc}(\underline{t}) \equiv n^{2/3} \left\{ \Delta^d \mathbb{F}_n(\underline{x}_0, \underline{x}_0 + \underline{t}n^{-1/(3d)}) - \Delta^d F(\underline{x}_0, \underline{x}_0 + \underline{t}n^{-1/(3d)}) - n^{-1/3} |\underline{t}| f(x_0) \right\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{f(\underline{x}_0)} W(\underline{t}) - \sigma(f_0) |\underline{t}|^2 \quad \text{in } C[[-K, K]^d]$$

$$\equiv \mathbb{Y}(\underline{t})$$

for each $K > 0$ where $W(\underline{t})$ is a 2^d -sided Brownian sheet and

$$\sigma(f_0) \equiv c_d (-1)^d \frac{\partial^d f(\underline{x})}{\partial x_1 \cdots \partial x_d} \Big|_{\underline{x}=\underline{x}_0} \equiv c_d (-1)^d \partial^d f(\underline{x}_0).$$

Next:

- localize \tilde{F}_n analogously to \mathbb{Y}_n^{loc} to get \tilde{H}_n^{loc}

Next:

- localize \tilde{F}_n analogously to \mathbb{Y}_n^{loc} to get \tilde{H}_n^{loc}
- verify localized Fenchel relations

Next:

- localize \tilde{F}_n analogously to \mathbb{Y}_n^{loc} to get \tilde{H}_n^{loc}
- verify localized Fenchel relations
- prove tightness / rate result

Next:

- localize \tilde{F}_n analogously to \mathbb{Y}_n^{loc} to get \tilde{H}_n^{loc}
- verify localized Fenchel relations
- prove tightness / rate result
- **Conjecture:**

$$\begin{aligned} n^{1/3}(\tilde{f}_n(\underline{x}_0) - f(\underline{x}_0)) &\rightarrow_d \frac{\partial^d}{\partial t_1 \cdots \partial t_d} \mathbb{H}(\underline{t}) \Big|_{\underline{t}=\underline{0}} \\ &\equiv \partial^d \mathbb{H}(\underline{t}) \Big|_{\underline{t}=\underline{0}} \end{aligned}$$

where the process $\mathbb{H}(\underline{t})$ is determined by

Next:

- localize \tilde{F}_n analogously to \mathbb{Y}_n^{loc} to get \tilde{H}_n^{loc}
- verify localized Fenchel relations
- prove tightness / rate result
- **Conjecture:**

$$\begin{aligned} n^{1/3}(\tilde{f}_n(\underline{x}_0) - f(\underline{x}_0)) &\rightarrow_d \frac{\partial^d}{\partial t_1 \cdots \partial t_d} \mathbb{H}(\underline{t}) \Big|_{\underline{t}=\underline{0}} \\ &\equiv \partial^d \mathbb{H}(\underline{t}) \Big|_{\underline{t}=\underline{0}} \end{aligned}$$

where the process $\mathbb{H}(\underline{t})$ is determined by

- $\mathbb{H}(\underline{t}) \geq \mathbb{Y}(\underline{t})$

Next:

- localize \tilde{F}_n analogously to \mathbb{Y}_n^{loc} to get \tilde{H}_n^{loc}
- verify localized Fenchel relations
- prove tightness / rate result
- **Conjecture:**

$$\begin{aligned} n^{1/3}(\tilde{f}_n(\underline{x}_0) - f(\underline{x}_0)) &\rightarrow_d \frac{\partial^d}{\partial t_1 \cdots \partial t_d} \mathbb{H}(\underline{t}) \Big|_{\underline{t}=\underline{0}} \\ &\equiv \partial^d \mathbb{H}(\underline{t}) \Big|_{\underline{t}=\underline{0}} \end{aligned}$$

where the process $\mathbb{H}(\underline{t})$ is determined by

- $\mathbb{H}(\underline{t}) \geq \mathbb{Y}(\underline{t})$
- $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\mathbb{H}(\underline{t}) - \mathbb{Y}(\underline{t})) d \{ \partial^d \mathbb{H}(\underline{t}) \} = 0.$

Next:

- localize \tilde{F}_n analogously to \mathbb{Y}_n^{loc} to get \tilde{H}_n^{loc}
- verify localized Fenchel relations
- prove tightness / rate result
- **Conjecture:**

$$\begin{aligned} n^{1/3}(\tilde{f}_n(\underline{x}_0) - f(\underline{x}_0)) &\rightarrow_d \frac{\partial^d}{\partial t_1 \cdots \partial t_d} \mathbb{H}(\underline{t}) \Big|_{\underline{t}=\underline{0}} \\ &\equiv \partial^d \mathbb{H}(\underline{t}) \Big|_{\underline{t}=\underline{0}} \end{aligned}$$

where the process $\mathbb{H}(\underline{t})$ is determined by

- $\mathbb{H}(\underline{t}) \geq \mathbb{Y}(\underline{t})$
- $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\mathbb{H}(\underline{t}) - \mathbb{Y}(\underline{t})) d \{ \partial^d \mathbb{H}(\underline{t}) \} = 0.$
- $\Delta^d \{ \partial^d \mathbb{H}(\underline{t}) \}(\underline{u}, \underline{v}) \geq 0$ for all $\underline{u}, \underline{v} \in \mathbb{R}^d.$

7. Summary: problems and directions

- More/better optimality theory for shape-constrained problems?
When is the MLE or LSE “best”? When is the MLE or LSE inferior?

7. Summary: problems and directions

- More/better optimality theory for shape-constrained problems?
When is the MLE or LSE “best”? When is the MLE or LSE inferior?
- Analogues of the results of Groeneboom & Pyke , Wright, Leurgans, Carolan and Dykstra, Anevski and Hössjer for the MLE and LSE of a convex decreasing density? **When** $f(t) = 2(1 - t)_+$, **does**

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{f}_n^{\text{convex}}(t) - f(t)) \rightarrow \text{Envelope of } \int_0^t \mathbb{U}(F(s)) ds?$$

7. Summary: problems and directions

- More/better optimality theory for shape-constrained problems?
When is the MLE or LSE “best”? When is the MLE or LSE inferior?
- Analogues of the results of Groeneboom & Pyke , Wright, Leurgans, Carolan and Dykstra, Anevski and Hössjer for the MLE and LSE of a convex decreasing density? When $f(t) = 2(1 - t)_+$, does

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{f}_n^{\text{convex}}(t) - f(t)) \rightarrow \text{Envelope of } \int_0^t \mathbb{U}(F(s))ds?$$

- Banerjee and Wellner (2001) studied likelihood ratio tests of $H : f(t_0) = \theta_0$ versus $K : f(t_0) \neq \theta_0$ in the case of monotone f . Is there a nice theory of pointwise likelihood ratio tests in other shape-constrained problems, e.g. when f is convex?

- Does the pointwise limit theory outline work for k -monotone densities / functions as partially proved by Balabdaoui and Wellner (2007, *Ann. Statist.* to appear)?

- Does the pointwise limit theory outline work for k -monotone densities / functions as partially proved by Balabdaoui and Wellner (2007, *Ann. Statist.* to appear)?
- Pointwise limit theory for MLE of a completely monotone density (= scale mixture of exponential)?
Does the *outline* discussed in my lectures here work?

- Does the pointwise limit theory outline work for k -monotone densities / functions as partially proved by Balabdaoui and Wellner (2007, *Ann. Statist.* to appear)?
- Pointwise limit theory for MLE of a completely monotone density (= scale mixture of exponential)?
Does the *outline* discussed in my lectures here work?
- Higher dimensional shape constraints?

- Does the pointwise limit theory outline work for k -monotone densities / functions as partially proved by Balabdaoui and Wellner (2007, *Ann. Statist.* to appear)?
- Pointwise limit theory for MLE of a completely monotone density (= scale mixture of exponential)?
Does the *outline* discussed in my lectures here work?
- Higher dimensional shape constraints?
 - Pointwise limit theory for log-concave estimators of a density in \mathbb{R}^2 or \mathbb{R}^d ?

- Does the pointwise limit theory outline work for k -monotone densities / functions as partially proved by Balabdaoui and Wellner (2007, *Ann. Statist.* to appear)?
- Pointwise limit theory for MLE of a completely monotone density (= scale mixture of exponential)?
Does the *outline* discussed in my lectures here work?
- Higher dimensional shape constraints?
 - Pointwise limit theory for log-concave estimators of a density in \mathbb{R}^2 or \mathbb{R}^d ?
 - Pointwise limit theory for LSE of a convex regression function?

- Does the pointwise limit theory outline work for k -monotone densities / functions as partially proved by Balabdaoui and Wellner (2007, *Ann. Statist.* to appear)?
- Pointwise limit theory for MLE of a completely monotone density (= scale mixture of exponential)?
Does the *outline* discussed in my lectures here work?
- Higher dimensional shape constraints?
 - Pointwise limit theory for log-concave estimators of a density in \mathbb{R}^2 or \mathbb{R}^d ?
 - Pointwise limit theory for LSE of a convex regression function?
 - Even when the MLE is rate sub-optimal (as will probably be the case for the class \mathcal{F}_{BD}), is it doing something else right?

- Does the pointwise limit theory outline work for k -monotone densities / functions as partially proved by Balabdaoui and Wellner (2007, *Ann. Statist.* to appear)?
- Pointwise limit theory for MLE of a completely monotone density (= scale mixture of exponential)?
Does the *outline* discussed in my lectures here work?
- Higher dimensional shape constraints?
 - Pointwise limit theory for log-concave estimators of a density in \mathbb{R}^2 or \mathbb{R}^d ?
 - Pointwise limit theory for LSE of a convex regression function?
 - Even when the MLE is rate sub-optimal (as will probably be the case for the class \mathcal{F}_{BD}), is it doing something else right?
- ...

