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Theorem 1 Every chordal graph has a junction tree.

Proof The proof is by induction on the number of variables $n=|V|$.
The statement is trivially true for $n=1$. We assume that the statement is true for all graphs with $n$ nodes. Let $X$ be the $n+1$-th node of a given graph $\mathcal{G}$. Then $\mathcal{G} \backslash\{X\}$ has a junction tree $\mathcal{J}$. Denote by $C=\{X\} \cup n(X)$ the clique formed by $X$ and its neighbors.

If $n(X)$ is a node in $\mathcal{J}$, then we replace $n(X)$ with $C$ in $\mathcal{J}$. It is easy to verify that the newly obtained j.t. has the Running Intersection Property. Thus, we have constructed a j.t on $n+1$ nodes for $\mathcal{G}$.

If $n(X)$ is not a node in $\mathcal{J}$, then it must be contained in a node (maximal clique) $C^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{J}$. We create the j.t. for $\mathcal{G}$ by adding to $\mathcal{J}$ the clique $C$, which we connect to $C^{\prime}$ by separator $n(X)$. Again, it is easy to see that the newly obtained j.t. has the Running Intersection Property.

QED
A tree formed from the maximal cliques of a graph, with edges labeled by the intersections of the adjacent node cliques is called a clique tree.

Theorem $2 A$ clique tree has the Running Intersection Property $\Leftrightarrow$ The clique tree is a Maximum Spanning Tree of the intersection graph, with weights equal to the sizes of the separators.

Proof(after Jordan) Let $\mathcal{J}$ be the clique tree, let $m$ be the number of nodes in $\mathcal{J}, n$ be the number of variables, and let $w(\mathcal{J})$ be its weight. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(\mathcal{J})=\sum_{j=1}^{m-1}\left|S_{j}\right| \quad \text { with } \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{j}} \text { the separators } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{X \in V} \mathbf{1}_{X \in S_{j}}  \tag{2}\\
& =\sum_{X \in V} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \mathbf{1}_{X \in S_{j}}  \tag{3}\\
& \leq \sum_{X \in V}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{1}_{X \in C_{i}}-1\right)  \tag{4}\\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{X \in V} \mathbf{1}_{X \in C_{i}}-n  \tag{5}\\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left|C_{i}\right|-n \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

The last quantity does not depend on the tree structure, and is an upper bound for the weight of any clique tree. Assume that $\mathcal{J}$ attains the upper bound. Then, obviously it is a Maximum Weight spanning tree. Now examine the inequality: the upper bound is attained if and only if for every $X$ in $V$, the subgraph of $\mathcal{J}$ that contains it is a spanning tree (i.e the number of separators that contain $X$ equals the number of maximal cliques that contain $X$ minus one).

QED

