Empirical Process Proof of the Asymptotic Distribution of Sample Quantiles

Definition: Given $\theta \in (0,1)$, the θ th quantile of a random variable \tilde{X} with CDF F is defined by:

$$\mu_{\theta} \equiv F^{-1}(\theta) = \inf\{x \mid F(x) \ge \theta\}.$$

Note that $\mu_{.5}$ is the median, $\mu_{.25}$ is the 25th percentile, etc. Further if we define the 0th quantile as $\mu_0 = \lim_{\theta \to 0} \mu_{\theta}$ and define μ_1 similarly, it is easy to see that these are the lower and upper points in the support of \tilde{X} (i.e. the minimum and maximum possible values of \tilde{X} which might be $-\infty$ and $+\infty$ if \tilde{X} has unbounded support). Note also that if F is strictly increasing in a neighborhood of μ_{θ} , then $\mu_{\theta} = F^{-1}(\theta)$ is the usual inverse of the CDF F. If F happens to have "flat" sections, say an interval of points x satisfying $F(x) = \theta$, then μ_{θ} is the smallest x in this interval. The following lemma, a slightly modified version of a lemma from R. J. Serfling, (1980) Approximation Theorems of Mathematical Statistics Wiley, New York, provides some basic properties of the quantile function $F^{-1}(\theta)$:

Lemma 1: Let F be a CDF. The quantile function $F^{-1}(\theta)$, $\theta \in (0,1)$ is non-decreasing and left continuous, and satisfies:

- 1. $F^{-1}(F(x)) \le x, -\infty < x < \infty$
- $2. \ F(F^{-1}(\theta)) \geq \theta, \quad 0 < \theta < 1$
- 3. If F is strictly increasing in a neighborhood of $\mu_{\theta} = F^{-1}(\theta)$ we have: $F(F^{-1}(\theta)) = \theta$ and $F^{-1}(F(\mu_{\theta})) = \mu_{\theta}$.
- 4. $F(x) \ge \theta$ if and only if $x \ge F^{-1}(\theta)$.

Definition: Let $(\tilde{X}_1, \ldots, \tilde{X}_N)$ be a random sample of size N from a CDF F. Then the sample quantile $\hat{\mu}_{\theta}, \theta \in (0, 1)$ is defined by:

$$\hat{\mu}_{\theta} = F_N^{-1}(\theta),$$

where F_N is the empirical CDF defined by:

$$F_N(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N I\{\tilde{X}_i \le x\}.$$

Thus $\hat{\mu}_{.5} = F_N^{-1}(.5)$ is the sample median $F_N^{-1}(.5) = \text{med}(\tilde{X}_1, \ldots, \tilde{X}_N)$, and $\hat{\mu}_0 = F_N^{-1}(0)$ is the sample minimum, $F_N^{-1}(0) = \min(\tilde{X}_1, \ldots, \tilde{X}_N)$, and $\hat{\mu}_1 = F_N^{-1}(1)$ is the sample maximum, $F_N^{-1}(1) = \max(\tilde{X}_1, \ldots, \tilde{X}_N)$. Since empirical CDF's have jumps of size 1/N (unless more than one of the $\{\tilde{X}_i\}$'s take the same value), then we can bound the maximum difference between θ and $F(F^{-1}(\theta))$ in Lemma 1-2 as follows: **Lemma 2:** Let $(\tilde{X}_1, \ldots, \tilde{X}_N)$ be a random sample from a CDF F and suppose that in this sample each \tilde{X}_i happens to be distinct, so that by reindexing we have $\tilde{X}_1 < \tilde{X}_2 < \cdots < \tilde{X}_{N-1} < \tilde{X}_N$. Then for all $\theta \in (0, 1)$ we have:

$$|F_N(F_N^{-1}(\theta)) - \theta| \le \frac{1}{N}.$$

The following theorem shows that the asymptotic distribution of the sample quantiles $\hat{\mu}_{\theta}$ for $\theta \in (0, 1)$ are normally distributed. It is important to note that we exclude the two cases $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = 1$ in this theorem since the asymptotic distribution of these *extreme value statistics* is very different and generally non-normal.

Theorem: Let $(\tilde{X}_1, \ldots, \tilde{X}_N)$ be IID draws from a CDF F with continuous density f. Then if $f(\mu_{\theta}) > 0$, we have:

$$\sqrt{N}\left(\hat{\mu}_{\theta} - \mu_{\theta}\right) = \sqrt{N}\left(F_{N}^{-1}(\theta) - F^{-1}(\theta)\right) \Longrightarrow N(0, \sigma^{2}),$$

where:

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{f(\mu_\theta)^2}.$$

Proof: The Central Limit Theorem for IID random variables implies that for any x in the support of F we have:

$$\sqrt{N} \left(F_N(x) - F(x) \right) \Longrightarrow N(0, \gamma^2),$$

where $\gamma^2 = F(x)[1 - F(x)]$. Letting $x = \mu_{\theta} = F^{-1}(\theta)$ and using Lemma 1-3 we have:

$$\sqrt{N}\left(F_N(\mu_\theta) - F(\mu_\theta)\right) = \sqrt{N}\left(F_N(F^{-1}(\theta)) - F(F^{-1}(\theta))\right) \Longrightarrow N(0, \theta(1-\theta)).$$

Furthermore, the property of stochastic equicontinuity from the theory of empirical processes (see D. Andrews, (1996) Handbook of Econometrics (vol. 4) for an accessible introduction and definition of stochastic equicontinuity), we have that the result given above is unaffected if we replace μ_{θ} by a consistent estimate $\hat{\mu}_{\theta}$:

$$\sqrt{N}\left(F_N(\hat{\mu}_{\theta}) - F(\hat{\mu}_{\theta})\right) = \sqrt{N}\left(F_N(F_N^{-1}(\theta)) - F(F_N^{-1}(\theta))\right) \Longrightarrow N(0, \theta(1-\theta)).$$

Now note that Lemma 1-2 implies that

$$\sqrt{N}\left(F_N(F_N^{-1}(\theta)) - F(F_N^{-1}(\theta))\right) \ge \sqrt{N}\left(\theta - F(F_N^{-1}(\theta))\right)$$

However since the true CDF F has a density, the probability of observing duplicate $\{X_i\}$'s is zero, so Lemma 2 implies that with probability 1 we have:

$$\sqrt{N}\left(F_N(F_N^{-1}(\theta)) - F(F_N^{-1}(\theta))\right) = \sqrt{N}\left(\theta - F(F_N^{-1}(\theta))\right) + O_p(1/\sqrt{N}),$$

which implies that:

$$\sqrt{N}\left(\theta - F(F_N^{-1}(\theta))\right) \Longrightarrow N(0, \theta(1-\theta)).$$

Now we apply the Delta theorem, i.e. we do a Taylor series expansion of $F(F_N^{-1}(\theta)$ about the limiting point $\mu_{\theta} = F^{-1}(\theta)$ to get:

$$F(F_N^{-1}(\theta)) = F(F^{-1}(\theta)) + f(\tilde{\mu}_{\theta}) \left[F_N^{-1}(\theta) - F^{-1}(\theta) \right],$$

where $\tilde{\mu}_{\theta}$ is a point on the line segment between $\hat{\mu}_{\theta}$ and μ_{θ} . Using the result above and Lemma 1-3 we have:

$$\sqrt{N}\left(F_N^{-1}(\theta) - F^{-1}(\theta)\right) = \sqrt{N}\left(\frac{\theta - F(F_N^{-1}(\theta))}{-f(\tilde{\mu}_{\theta})}\right) \Longrightarrow N(0, \sigma^2),$$

where we have used Slutsky's Theorem and the fact that $\tilde{\mu}_{\theta} \to \mu_{\theta}$ since $\hat{\mu}_{\theta} \to \mu_{\theta}$ with probability 1.