

# Chapter 0

## Measures, Integration, Convergence

### 1. Measures

Definitions  
Basic Examples  
Extension Theorem  
Completion

### 2. Measurable Functions and Integration

Simple functions  
Monotone convergence theorem (MCT)  
Fatou's lemma  
Dominated convergence theorem (DCT)  
Absolute continuity of the integral  
Induced measures  
Theorem of the unconscious statistician

### 3. Absolute Continuity, Radon-Nikodym Theorem, Fubini's Theorem

Absolute continuity  
Radon-Nikodym Theorem  
Fubini-Tonelli Theorem



# Chapter 0

## Measures, Integration, Convergence

### 1 Measures

Let  $\Omega$  be a fixed non-void set.

**Definition 1.1 ( fields,  $\sigma$ -fields, monotone classes)** A non-void class  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets of  $\Omega$  is called a:

- (i) *field* or *algebra* if  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$  implies  $A \cup B \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $A^c \in \mathcal{A}$ .
- (ii)  *$\sigma$ -field* or  *$\sigma$ -algebra* if  $A, A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{A}$  implies  $\cup_1^\infty A_n \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $A^c \in \mathcal{A}$ .
- (iii) *monotone class* if  $A_n$  is a monotone  $\nearrow$  ( $\searrow$ ) sequence in  $\mathcal{A}$  implies  $\cup_1^\infty A_n \in \mathcal{A}$  ( $\cap_1^\infty A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ ).
- (iv)  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$  with  $\mathcal{A}$  a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of  $\Omega$  is called a *measurable space*.

**Remark 1.1** (i)  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$  imply  $A \cap B \in \mathcal{A}$  for a field.

(ii)  $A_1, \dots, A_n, \dots \in \mathcal{A}$  implies  $\cap_{n=1}^\infty A_n \in \mathcal{A}$  for a  $\sigma$ -field.

(iii)  $\emptyset, \Omega \in \mathcal{A}$  for both a field and  $\sigma$ -field.

(iv) To prove that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a field ( $\sigma$ -field) it suffices to show that  $\mathcal{A}$  is closed under complements and finite (countable) intersections.

**Proposition 1.1** (i) Arbitrary intersections of fields ( $\sigma$ -fields) ((monotone classes)) are fields ( $\sigma$ -fields) ((monotone classes)).

(ii) There exists a minimal field ( $\sigma$ - field) ((monotone class))  $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$  generated by any class of subsets of  $\Omega$ .

(iii) a  $\sigma$ -field is a monotone class and conversely if it is a field.

**Proof.** (iii)  $(\Leftarrow)$   $\cup_{n=1}^\infty A_n = \cup_{n=1}^\infty (\cup_{k=1}^n A_k) \equiv \cup_1^\infty B_n$  where  $B_n \nearrow$ .  $\square$

**Notation 1.1** If  $\Omega$  is a set,  $2^\Omega$  is the family of all subsets of  $\Omega$ .

$2^\Omega$  is always a  $\sigma$ -field.

**Example 1.1** If  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$ , let  $\mathcal{B}_0$  consist of  $\emptyset$  together with all finite unions of disjoint intervals of the form  $\cup_{i=1}^n (a_i, b_i]$ , or  $\cup_{i=1}^n (a_i, b_i] \cup (a_{n+1}, \infty)$ ,  $(-\infty, b_{n+1}] \cup \cup_{i=1}^n (a_i, b_i]$ , with  $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}_0$  is a field.

**Example 1.2** If  $\Omega = (0, 1]$ , let  $\mathcal{B}_0$  consist of  $\emptyset$  together with all finite unions of disjoint intervals of the form  $\cup_{i=1}^n (a_i, b_i]$ ,  $0 \leq a_i \leq b_i \leq 1$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}_0$  is a field. But note that  $\mathcal{B}_0$  does not contain intervals of the form  $[a, b]$  or  $(a, b)$ ; however  $(a, b) = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} (a, b - 1/n]$ .

**Example 1.3** If  $\Omega = R$ , let  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{B}_0$  of example 1.1, and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the  $\sigma$ -field generated by  $\mathcal{B}_0$ ;  $\mathcal{B} = \sigma(\mathcal{B}_0)$ .  $\mathcal{B}$  is a  $\sigma$ -field which contains all intervals, open, closed or half-open. From real analysis, any open set  $O \subset R$  can be written as a countable union of (disjoint) open intervals:

$$O = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n, b_n).$$

Thus  $\mathcal{B}$  contains all open sets in  $R$ . This particular  $\mathcal{B} \equiv \mathcal{B}_1$  is called the family of *Borel sets*. In fact,  $\mathcal{B} = \sigma(\mathcal{O})$ , where  $\mathcal{O}$  is the collection of all open sets in  $R$ .

**Example 1.4** Suppose that  $\Omega$  is a metric space with metric  $\rho$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be the collection of open subsets of  $\Omega$ . The the  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{B} = \sigma(\mathcal{O})$  is called the *Borel  $\sigma$ -field*. In particular, for  $\Omega = R^k$  with the Euclidean metric  $\rho(x, y) = |x - y| = \{\sum_1^k |x_i - y_i|^2\}^{1/2}$ ,  $\mathcal{B} \equiv \mathcal{B}_k \equiv \sigma(\mathcal{O})$  is the  $\sigma$ -field of Borel sets.

**Definition 1.2** (i) A *measure* (finitely additive measure) is a function  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  such that  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$  and  $\mu(\sum A_n) = \sum \mu(A_n)$  for countable (finite) disjoint sequences  $A_n$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ .  
(ii) A *measure space* is a triple  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  with  $\mathcal{A}$  a  $\sigma$ -field and  $\mu$  a measure.

**Definition 1.3** (i)  $\mu$  is a *finite measure* if  $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$ .  
(ii)  $\mu$  is a *probability measure* if  $\mu(\Omega) = 1$ .  
(iii)  $\mu$  is an *infinite measure* if  $\mu(\Omega) = \infty$ .  
(iv) A measure  $\mu$  on a field ( $\sigma$ -field)  $\mathcal{A}$  is called  *$\sigma$ -finite* if there exists a partition  $\{F_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\Omega = \sum_1^{\infty} F_n$  and  $\mu(F_n) < \infty$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .  
(v) A *probability space* is a measure space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  with  $\mu$  a probability measure.

**Definition 1.4** (i) A measure  $\mu$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$  is *discrete* if there are finitely or countably many points  $\omega_i$  in  $\Omega$  and masses  $m_i \in [0, \infty)$  such that

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{\omega_i \in A} m_i \quad \text{for} \quad A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

(ii) If  $\mu$  is defined on  $(\Omega, 2^{\Omega})$ ,  $\Omega$  arbitrary, by  $\mu(A) = \#$  of points in  $A$ ,  $\mu(A) = \infty$  if  $A$  is not finite, then  $\mu$  is called *counting measure*.

**Example 1.5** (i) A discrete measure  $\mu$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}) = (R^1, \mathcal{B}_1)$ :  $x_i = i$ ,  $m_i = 2^i$ .  
(ii) A discrete measure  $\mu$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}) = (\mathbb{Z}^+, 2^{\mathbb{Z}^+})$ :  $x_i = 2i$ ,  $m_i = 1/i$ . ( $\mathbb{Z}^+ = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ ).  
(iii) Counting measure on  $(R^1, \mathcal{B}_1)$ ; not a  $\sigma$ -finite measure!  
(iv) Counting measure on  $(\mathbb{Z}^+, 2^{\mathbb{Z}^+})$ .  
(v) A probability measure on  $\mathbb{Q}$ , the rationals: With  $\{x_i\}$  an enumeration of the rationals, let  $m_i = 6/(\pi^2 i^2)$ .

**Proposition 1.2** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space.

- (i) If  $\{A_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{A}$  with  $A_n \subset A_{n+1}$  for all  $n$ , then  $\mu(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n)$ .
- (ii) If  $\mu(A_1) < \infty$  and  $A_n \supset A_{n+1}$  for all  $n$ , then  $\mu(\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n)$ .

**Proof.** (i)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu(\cup_1^\infty A_n) &= \mu(\cup_1^\infty (A_n \setminus A_{n-1})) \quad \text{where } A_0 = \emptyset \\
 &= \sum_1^\infty \mu(A_n \setminus A_{n-1}) \quad \text{by countable additivity} \\
 &= \lim_n \sum_1^n \mu(A_n \setminus A_{n-1}) \\
 &= \lim_n \mu(\sum_1^n (A_n \setminus A_{n-1})) \quad \text{by finite additivity} \\
 &= \lim_n \mu(A_n).
 \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let  $B_n \equiv A_1 \setminus A_n = A_1 \cap A_n^c$  so that  $B_n \nearrow$ . Thus, on the one hand we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lim_n \mu(B_n) &= \mu(\cup_1^\infty B_n) \quad \text{by part (i)} \\
 &= \mu(\cup_1^\infty (A_1 \cap A_n^c)) \\
 &= \mu(A_1 \cap \cup_1^\infty A_n^c) \\
 &= \mu(A_1 \cap (\cap_1^\infty A_n)^c) \\
 &= \mu(A_1) - \mu(\cap_1^\infty A_n) \quad \text{by finite additivity,}
 \end{aligned}$$

while on the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lim_n \mu(B_n) &= \lim_n \mu(A_1 \setminus A_n) = \lim_n \{\mu(A_1) - \mu(A_n)\} \quad \text{by finite additivity} \\
 &= \mu(A_1) - \lim_n \mu(A_n).
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining these two equalities yield the conclusion of (ii).  $\square$

### Definition 1.5

(i)  $\underline{\lim} A_n \equiv \cup_{n=1}^\infty \cap_{k=n}^\infty A_k \equiv \{\omega \in \Omega : \omega \in \text{all but a finite number of } A'_k \text{ s}\} \equiv [A_n \text{ a.a.}]$ ;  
(ii)  $\overline{\lim} A_n \equiv \cap_{n=1}^\infty \cup_{k=n}^\infty A_k \equiv \{\omega \in \Omega : \omega \in \text{infinitely many } A'_k \text{ s}\} \equiv [A_n \text{ i.o.}]$ .

**Remark 1.2**  $\underline{\lim} A_n \subset \overline{\lim} A_n$ ;  $\lim A_n \equiv \underline{\lim} A_n$  provided  $\underline{\lim} A_n = \overline{\lim} A_n$ .

**Proposition 1.3** Monotone  $\nearrow$  ( $\searrow$ )  $A_n$ 's have  $\lim A_n = \cup_1^\infty A_n$  ( $= \cap_1^\infty A_n$ ).

**Example 1.6** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} = \sigma(\mathcal{B}_0)$  as in example 1.3. For  $B \in \mathcal{B}_0$ , let  $\mu(B) \equiv$  the sum of the lengths of intervals  $A \in \mathcal{B}_0$  composing  $B$ . Then  $\mu$  is a countably additive measure on  $\mathcal{B}_0$ . Can  $\mu$  be extended to  $\mathcal{B}$ ? The answers is yes, and depends on the following:

**Theorem 1.1 (Caratheodory Extension Theorem)** A measure  $\mu$  on a field  $\mathcal{C}$  can be extended to a measure on the minimal  $\sigma$ -field  $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$  over  $\mathcal{C}$ . If  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite on  $\mathcal{C}$ , then the extension is unique and is also  $\sigma$ -finite.

**Proof.** See Billingsley (1986), pages 29 - 35 and 137 - 139.  $\square$

**Example 1.7 (example 1.3, continued.)** The extension of the countably additive measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{B}_0$  to  $\mathcal{B}_1 = \sigma(\mathcal{B}_0)$ , the Borel  $\sigma$ -field, is called Lebesgue measure; thus  $(R^1, \mathcal{B}_1, \mu)$  where  $\mu$  is the extension of the Caratheodory extension theorem, is a measure space. The usual procedure is to *complete*  $\mathcal{B}_1$  as follows.

**Definition 1.6** If  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is a measure space such that  $B \subset A$  with  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mu(A) = 0$  implies  $B \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is a *complete measure space*. If  $\mu(A) = 0$ , then  $A$  is called a *null set*. (Of course there can be non-empty null sets.)

**Exercise 1.1** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space. Define

$$\overline{\mathcal{A}} \equiv \{A \cup N : A \in \mathcal{A}, N \subset B \text{ for some } B \in \mathcal{A} \text{ such that } \mu(B) = 0\}$$

and let  $\overline{\mu}(A \cup N) \equiv \mu(A)$ . Then  $(\Omega, \overline{\mathcal{A}}, \overline{\mu})$  is a complete measure space.

**Example 1.8 (example 1.3, continued.)** Completing  $(R^1, \mathcal{B}_1, \mu)$  where  $\mu$  =Lebesgue measure yields the complete measure space  $(R^1, \overline{\mathcal{B}}_1, \overline{\mu})$ .  $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_1$  is called the  $\sigma$ -field of *Lebesgue sets*.

So far we know only a few measures. But we will now construct a whole batch of them; and they are just the ones most useful for probability theory.

**Definition 1.7** A measure  $\mu$  on  $R$  assigning finite values to finite intervals is called a *Lebesgue - Stieltjes measure*.

**Definition 1.8** A function  $F$  on  $R$  which is finite, increasing, and right continuous is called a *generalized distribution function* (generalized df).

$$F(a, b] \equiv F(b) - F(a)$$

for  $-\infty < a \leq b < \infty$  is called the *increment function* of the generalized df  $F$ . We identify generalized df's having the same increment function.

**Theorem 1.2 (Correspondence theorem.)** The relation

$$\mu((a, b]) = F(b) - F(a) \quad \text{for } -\infty < a \leq b < \infty$$

establishes a one-to-one correspondence between Lebesgue-Stieltjes measures  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_1$  and equivalence classes of generalized df's.

**Proof.** See Billingsley (1986), pages 147, 149 - 151.  $\square$

**Definition 1.9 (Probability measures on  $R$ .)** If  $\mu(\Omega) = 1$ , then  $\mu$  is called a *probability distribution* or *probability measure* and is denoted by  $P$ .

**Definition 1.10** An  $\nearrow$ , right-continuous function  $F$  on  $R$  such that  $F(-\infty) = 0$  and  $F(\infty) = 1$  is a *distribution function* (df).

**Corollary 1** The relation

$$P((a, b]) = F(b) - F(a) \quad \text{for } -\infty < a \leq b < \infty$$

establishes a one-to-one correspondence between probability measures on  $R$  and df's.

## 2 Measurable Functions and Integration

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$  be a measurable space.

Let  $X$  denote a function,  $X : \Omega \rightarrow R$ .

**Definition 2.1**  $X : \Omega \rightarrow R$  is measurable if  $[X \in B] \equiv X^{-1}(B) = \{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) \in B\} \in \mathcal{A}$  for all  $B \in \mathcal{B}_1$ .

**Definition 2.2** (i) For  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  the indicator function of  $A$  is the function

$$1_A(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \omega \in A \\ 0 & \text{if } \omega \in A^c \end{cases}.$$

(ii) A simple function is  $X(\omega) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n x_i 1_{A_i}(\omega)$  for  $\sum_1^n A_i = \Omega$ ,  $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $x_i \in R$ .

(iii) An elementary function is  $X(\omega) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i 1_{A_i}(\omega)$  for  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = \Omega$ ,  $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $x_i \in R$ .

**Proposition 2.1**  $X$  is measurable if and only if  $X^{-1}(\mathcal{C}) \equiv \{X^{-1}(C) : C \in \mathcal{C}\} \subset \mathcal{A}$  where  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{B}$ . Hence  $X$  is measurable if and only if  $X^{-1}((x, \infty)) \equiv [X > x] \in \mathcal{A}$  for all  $x \in R$ .

**Proof.**  $(\Rightarrow)$  This direction is trivial.

$(\Leftarrow)$   $X^{-1}(\mathcal{B}) = X^{-1}(\sigma(\mathcal{C})) = \sigma(X^{-1}(\mathcal{C}))$  since  $X^{-1}$  preserves all set operations and since  $X^{-1}(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathcal{A}$  with  $\mathcal{A}$  a  $\sigma$ -field by hypothesis.

Further,  $\sigma(\{(x, \infty) : x \in R\}) = \mathcal{B}_1$  since  $(a, b] = (a, \infty) \cap (b, \infty)^c$ , and  $\mathcal{B}_1$  is generated by intervals of the form  $(a, b]$ .  $\square$  Note that the assertion of the proposition would work with  $(x, \infty)$  replaced

by any of  $[x, \infty)$ ,  $(-\infty, x]$ ,  $(-\infty, x)$ .

**Proposition 2.2** Suppose that  $\{X_n\}$  are measurable. Then so are  $\sup_n X_n$ ,  $-X_n$ ,  $\inf_n X_n$ ,  $\overline{\lim} X_n$ ,  $\underline{\lim} X_n$ , and  $\lim X_n$ .

**Proof.**  $[\sup X_n > x] = \cup_n [X_n > x]$ ;

$[-X_n > x] = [X_n < -x]$ ;

$\inf X_n = -\sup_n (-X_n)$ ;

$\overline{\lim} X_n = \inf_n (\sup_{k \geq n} X_k)$ ;

$\underline{\lim} X_n = -\overline{\lim} (-X_n)$ ;

$\lim_n X_n = \overline{\lim} X_n$  when  $\lim X_n$  exists.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.3**  $X$  is measurable if and only if it is the limit of a sequence of simple functions:

$$X_n = -n 1_{[X < -n]} + \sum_{k=-n2^n+1}^{n2^n} \frac{k-1}{2^n} 1_{[(k-1)/2^n \leq X < k/2^n]} + n 1_{[X > n]}.$$

**Proof.**  $(\Rightarrow)$  The  $X_n$ 's exhibited above have  $|X_n(\omega) - X(\omega)| < 2^{-n}$  for  $|X(\omega)| < n$ .

$(\Leftarrow)$  The exhibited  $X_n$ 's are simple, converge to  $X$ , and  $\lim X_n$  is measurable by prop 2.2.  $\square$

**Remark 2.1** If  $X \geq 0$ , then  $0 \leq X_n \nearrow X$ .

**Proposition 2.4** Let  $X, Y$  be measurable. Then  $X \pm Y, XY, X/Y, X^+ \equiv X1_{[X \geq 0]}, X^- \equiv -X1_{[X \leq 0]}, |X|, g(X)$  for measurable  $g$  are all measurable.

**Proof.** Let  $X_n, Y_n$  be simple functions,  $X_n \rightarrow X, Y_n \rightarrow Y$ . Then  $X_n \pm Y_n, X_n Y_n, X_n/Y_n$  are simple functions converging to  $X \pm Y, XY$ , and  $X/Y$ , and hence the limits are measurable by prop 2.3.  $X^+$  and  $X^-$  are easy by prop 2.3, and  $|X| = X^+ + X^-$ . For  $g : R \rightarrow R$  measurable we have, for  $B \in \mathcal{B}_1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (gX)^{-1}(B) &= X^{-1}(g^{-1}(B)) = X^{-1}(\text{ a Borel set }) \quad \text{since } g \text{ is measurable} \\ &\in \mathcal{A} \quad \text{since } X^{-1} \text{ is measurable.} \end{aligned}$$

□

**Remark 2.2** Any continuous function  $g$  is measurable since

$$g^{-1}(\mathcal{B}) = g^{-1}(\sigma(\mathcal{O})) = \sigma(g^{-1}(\mathcal{O})) = \sigma(\text{ a subcollection of open sets }) \subset \mathcal{B}.$$

Now let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space, and let  $X, Y$  denote measurable functions from  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$  to  $(\overline{R}, \overline{\mathcal{B}})$ ,  $\overline{R} \equiv R \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ ,  $\overline{\mathcal{B}} \equiv \sigma(\mathcal{B} \cup \{\infty\} \cup \{-\infty\})$ .

CONVENTIONS:  $0 \cdot \infty = 0 = \infty \cdot 0, x \cdot \infty = \infty \cdot x = \infty$  if  $0 < x < \infty; \infty \cdot \infty = \infty$ .

**Definition 2.3** (i) For  $X \equiv \sum_1^m x_i 1_{A_i}$  with  $x_i \geq 0, \sum_1^m A_i = \Omega$ , then  $\int X d\mu = \sum_1^m x_i \mu(A_i)$ .  
(ii) For  $X \geq 0$ ,  $\int X d\mu \equiv \lim_n \int X_n d\mu$  where  $\{X_n\}$  is any  $\nearrow$  sequence of simple functions,  $X_n \rightarrow X$ .  
(iii) For general  $X$ ,  $\int X d\mu \equiv \int X^+ d\mu - \int X^- d\mu$  if one of  $\int X^+ d\mu, \int X^- d\mu$  is finite.  
(iv) If  $\int X d\mu$  is finite, then  $X$  is *integrable*.

JUSTIFICATION: See Loève pages 120 - 123 or Billingsley (1986), page 176.

**Proposition 2.5 (Elementary properties.)** Suppose that  $\int X d\mu, \int Y d\mu$ , and  $\int X d\mu + \int Y d\mu$  exist. Then:

- (i)  $\int (X + Y) d\mu = \int X d\mu + \int Y d\mu, \int cX d\mu = c \int X d\mu$ ;
- (ii)  $X \geq 0$  implies  $\int X d\mu \geq 0; X \geq Y$  implies  $\int X d\mu \geq \int Y d\mu$ ; and  $X = Y$  a.e. implies  $\int X d\mu = \int Y d\mu$ .
- (iii) (integrability).  $X$  is integrable if and only if  $|X|$  is integrable, and either implies that  $X$  is a.e. finite.  $|X| \leq Y$  with  $Y$  integrable implies  $X$  integrable;  $X$  and  $Y$  integrable implies that  $X + Y$  is integrable.

**Proof.** (iii) That  $X$  is integrable if and only if  $\int X^+ d\mu$  and  $\int X^- d\mu$  finite if and only if  $|X|$  integrable is easy. Now  $\int X^+ d\mu < \infty$  implies  $X^+$  finite a.e.; if not, then  $\mu(A) > 0$  where  $A \equiv \{\omega : X^+(\omega) = \infty\}$ , and then  $\int X^+ d\mu \geq \int X^+ 1_A d\mu = \infty \cdot \mu(A) = \infty$ , a contradiction. Now  $0 \leq X^+ \leq Y$ , thus  $0 \leq \int X^+ d\mu \leq \int Y d\mu < \infty$ . Likewise  $\int X^- d\mu < \infty$ . □

**Theorem 2.1 (Monotone convergence theorem.)** If  $0 \leq X_n \nearrow X$ , then  $\int X_n d\mu \rightarrow \int X d\mu$ .

**Corollary 1** If  $X_n \geq 0$  then  $\int \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n d\mu = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int X_n d\mu$ .

**Proof.** Note that  $0 \leq \sum_1^n X_k \nearrow \sum_1^\infty X_k$  and apply the monotone convergence theorem.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2 (Fatou's lemma.)** If  $X_n \geq 0$  for all  $n$ , then  $\int \underline{\lim} X_n d\mu \leq \underline{\lim} \int X_n d\mu$ .

**Proof.** Since  $X_n \geq \inf_{k \geq n} X_k \equiv Y_n \nearrow \underline{\lim} X_n$ , it follows from the MCT that

$$\int \underline{\lim} X_n d\mu = \int \lim Y_n d\mu = \lim \int Y_n d\mu \leq \underline{\lim} \int X_n d\mu.$$

$\square$

**Definition 2.4** A sequence  $X_n$  converges *almost everywhere* (or converges a.e. for short), denoted  $X_n \rightarrow_{a.e.} X$ , if  $X_n(\omega) \rightarrow X(\omega)$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega \setminus N$  where  $\mu(N) = 0$  (i.e. for a.e.  $\omega$ ). Note that  $\{X_n\}, X$ , are all defined on one measure space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$ . If  $\mu$  is a probability measure,  $\mu = P$  with  $P(\Omega) = 1$ , we will write  $\rightarrow_{a.s.}$  for  $\rightarrow_{a.e.}$ .

**Proposition 2.6** Let  $\{X_n\}, X$  be finite measurable functions. Then  $[X_n \rightarrow X] = \cap_{k=1}^\infty \cup_{m=n}^\infty [|X_m - X| < 1/k]$ , and is a measurable set.

**Corollary 1** Let  $\{X_n\}, X$  be finite measurable functions. Then  $X_n \rightarrow_{a.e.} X$  if and only if

$$\mu(\cap_{n=1}^\infty \cup_{m=n}^\infty [|X_m - X| \geq \epsilon]) = 0$$

for all  $\epsilon > 0$ . If  $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$ ,  $X_n \rightarrow_{a.e.} X$  if and only if

$$\mu(\cup_{m=n}^\infty [|X_m - X| \geq \epsilon]) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

for all  $\epsilon > 0$ .

**Proof.** First note that

$$[X_n \rightarrow X]^c = \cup_{k=1}^\infty \cap_{n=1}^\infty \cup_{m=n}^\infty [|X_m - X| \geq 1/k] \equiv \cup_{k=1}^\infty A_k$$

with  $A_k \nearrow$ ; and  $A_k = \cap_{n=1}^\infty B_{nk}$  with  $B_{nk} \searrow$  in  $n$ . Applying prop 1.2 gives the result.  $\square$

**Definition 2.5 (Convergence in measure; convergence in probability.)** A sequence of finite measurable functions  $X_n$  converge in measure to a measurable function  $X$ , denoted  $X_n \rightarrow_\mu X$ , if

$$\mu([|X_n - X| \geq \epsilon]) \rightarrow 0$$

for all  $\epsilon > 0$ . If  $\mu$  is a probability measure,  $\mu(\Omega) = 1$ , call  $\mu = P$ , write  $X_n \rightarrow_p X$ , and say  $X_n$  converge in probability to  $X$ .

**Proposition 2.7** Let  $X_n$ 's be finite a.e.

- (i) If  $X_n \rightarrow_\mu X$  then there exist a subsequence  $\{n_k\}$  such that  $X_{n_k} \rightarrow_{a.e.} X$ .
- (ii) If  $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$  and  $X_n \rightarrow_{a.e.} X$ , then  $X_n \rightarrow_\mu X$ .

**Theorem 2.3 (Dominated Convergence Theorem)** If  $|X_n| \leq Y$  a.e. with  $Y$  integrable, and if  $X_n \rightarrow_\mu X$  (or  $X_n \rightarrow_{a.e.} X$ ), then  $\int |X_n - X| d\mu \rightarrow 0$  and  $\lim \int X_n d\mu = \int X d\mu$ .

**Proof.** We give the proof under the assumption  $X_n \rightarrow_{a.e.} X$ . Then  $Z_n \equiv |X_n - X| \rightarrow 0$  a.e. and  $Z_n \leq |X_n| + |X| \leq 2Y \equiv Z$ . Thus  $Z - Z_n \geq 0$  and by Fatou's lemma

$$\int Z d\mu = \int \underline{\lim}(Z - Z_n) d\mu \leq \underline{\lim} \int (Z - Z_n) d\mu = \int Z d\mu - \overline{\lim} \int Z_n d\mu,$$

and this implies

$$\overline{\lim} \int Z_n = \overline{\lim} \int |X_n - X| d\mu \leq 0.$$

Thus

$$|\int X_n - \int X| = |\int (X_n - X) d\mu| \leq \int |X_n - X| d\mu \rightarrow 0.$$

□

**Definition 2.6** Let  $X$  be a finite measurable function on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$  (so that  $P(\Omega) = 1$ ). Then  $X$  is called a *random variable* and

$$P_X(B) \equiv P(X \in B) = P(\{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) \in B\})$$

for all  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  is called the (induced) probability distribution of  $X$  (on  $R$ ). The df associated with  $P_X$  is denoted by  $F_X$  and is called the *df of the random variable  $X$* . Thus  $(R, \mathcal{B}, P_X)$  is a probability space.

**Theorem 2.4 (Theorem of the unconscious statistician.)** If  $g$  is a finite measurable function from  $R$  to  $R$ , then

$$\int_{\Omega} g(X(\omega)) dP(\omega) = \int_R g(x) dP_X(x) = \int_R g(x) dF_X(x).$$

**Proposition 2.8 (Interchange of integral and limit or derivative.)** Suppose that  $X(\omega, t)$  is measurable for each  $t \in (a, b)$ .

(i) If  $X(\omega, t)$  is a.e. continuous in  $t$  at  $t_0$  and  $|X(\omega, t)| \leq Y(\omega)$  a.e. for  $|t - t_0| < \delta$  with  $Y$  integrable, then  $\int X(\cdot, t) d\mu$  is continuous in  $t$  at  $t_0$ .

(ii) Suppose that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} X(\omega, t)$  exists for a.e.  $\omega$ , all  $t \in (a, b)$ , and  $|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} X(\omega, t)| \leq Y(\omega)$  integrable a.e. for all  $t \in (a, b)$ . Then

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\Omega} X(\omega, t) d\mu(\omega) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} X(\omega, t) d\mu(\omega).$$

**Proof.** (ii). By the mean value theorem

$$\frac{X(\omega, t+h) - X(\omega, t)}{h} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} X(\omega, t)|_{t=s}$$

for some  $t \leq s \leq t+h$ . Also the left side of the display converges to  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} X(\omega, t)$  as  $h \rightarrow 0$  for a.e.  $\omega$ , and by the equality of the display and the hypothesized bound, the difference quotient on the left side of the display is bounded in absolute value by  $Y$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\Omega} X(\omega, t) d\mu(\omega) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} X(\omega, t+h) d\mu(\omega) - \int_{\Omega} X(\omega, t) d\mu(\omega) \right\} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \frac{X(\omega, t+h) - X(\omega, t)}{h} \right\} d\mu(\omega) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} X(\omega, t) d\mu(\omega) \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality holds by the dominated convergence theorem. □

### 3 Absolute Continuity, Radon-Nikodym Theorem, Fubini's Theorem

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space, and let  $X$  be a non-negative measurable function on  $\Omega$ . For  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , set

$$\nu(A) \equiv \int_A X d\mu = \int_{\Omega} 1_A X d\mu.$$

Then  $\nu$  is another measure on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$  and  $\nu$  is finite if and only if  $X$  is integrable ( $X \in L_1(\mu)$ ).

**Definition 3.1** The measure  $\nu$  defined by ?? is said to have *density*  $X$  with respect to  $\mu$ .

Note that  $\mu(A) = 0$  implies that  $\nu(A) = 0$ .

**Definition 3.2** If  $\mu, \nu$  are any two measures on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$  such that  $\mu(A) = 0$  implies  $\nu(A) = 0$  for any  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $\nu$  is said to be *absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$* , and we write  $\nu \ll \mu$ . We also say that  $\nu$  is *dominated by  $\mu$* .

**Theorem 3.1 (Radon-Nikodym theorem.)** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space, and let  $\nu$  be a measure on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$  with  $\nu \ll \mu$ . Then there exists a measurable function  $X \geq 0$  such that  $\nu(A) = \int_A X d\mu$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . The function  $X \equiv \frac{d\nu}{d\mu}$  is unique in the sense that if  $Y$  is another such function, then  $Y = X$  a.e. with respect to  $\mu$ .  $X$  is called the *Radon-Nikodym derivative* of  $\nu$  with respect to  $\mu$ .

**Proof.** See Billingsley (1986), page 376.  $\square$

**Corollary 1 (Change of Variable Theorem.)** Suppose that  $\nu, \mu$  are  $\sigma$ -finite measures defined on a measure space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$  with  $\nu \ll \mu$ , and suppose that  $Z$  is a measurable function such that  $\int Z d\nu$  is well-defined. Then for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ ,

$$\int_A Z d\nu = \int_A Z \frac{d\nu}{d\mu} d\mu.$$

**Proof.** (i) If  $Z = 1_B$ ; then

$$\int_A 1_B d\nu = \nu(A \cap B) = \int_{A \cap B} \frac{d\nu}{d\mu} d\mu = \int_A 1_B \frac{d\nu}{d\mu} d\mu.$$

(ii) If  $Z = \sum_1^m z_i 1_{A_i}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A Z d\nu &= \sum_1^m z_i \int_A 1_{A_i} d\nu \\ &= \sum_1^m z_i \int_A 1_{A_i} \frac{d\nu}{d\mu} d\mu \quad \text{by (i)} \\ &= \int_A Z \frac{d\nu}{d\mu} d\mu \end{aligned}$$

(iii) If  $Z \geq 0$ , let  $Z_n \geq 0$  be simple functions  $\nearrow Z$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_A Z d\nu &= \lim \int_A Z_n d\nu \quad \text{by the monotone convergence thm.} \\ &= \lim \int Z_n \frac{d\nu}{d\mu} d\mu \quad \text{by part (ii)} \\ &= \int_A Z \frac{d\nu}{d\mu} d\mu \quad \text{by the monotone convergence thm.}\end{aligned}$$

(iv) If  $Z$  is measurable,  $Z = Z^+ - Z^-$  where one of  $Z^+$ ,  $Z^-$  is  $\nu$ -integrable, then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_A Z d\nu &= \int_A Z^+ d\nu - \int_A Z^- d\nu \\ &= \int_A Z^+ \frac{d\nu}{d\mu} d\mu - \int_A Z^- \frac{d\nu}{d\mu} d\mu \quad \text{by (iii)} \\ &= \int_A Z \frac{d\nu}{d\mu} d\mu.\end{aligned}$$

□

**Example 3.1** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$  be a probability space; often this will be  $(R^n, \mathcal{B}_n, P)$ . Often in statistics we suppose that  $P$  has a density  $f$  with respect to a  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\mu$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$  so that

$$P(A) = \int_A f d\mu \quad \text{for } A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

If  $\mu$  is Lebesgue measure on  $R^n$ , then  $f$  is the *density function*. If  $\mu$  is counting measure on a countable set, then  $f$  is the *frequency function* or *mass function*.

**Proposition 3.1 (Scheffé's theorem.)** Suppose that  $\nu_n(A) = \int_A f_n d\mu$ , that  $\nu(A) = \int_A f d\mu$  where  $f_n$  are densities and  $\nu_n(\Omega) = \nu(\Omega) < \infty$  for all  $n$ , and that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  a.e.  $\mu$ . Then

$$\sup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} |\nu_n(A) - \nu(A)| = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |f_n - f| d\mu \rightarrow 0.$$

**Proof.** For  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}|\nu_n(A) - \nu(A)| &= \left| \int_A (f_n - f) d\mu \right| \\ &\leq \int_A |f_n - f| d\mu \leq \int_{\Omega} |f_n - f| d\mu,\end{aligned}$$

and this implies that

$$\sup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} |\nu_n(A) - \nu(A)| \leq \int_{\Omega} |f_n - f| d\mu.$$

Let  $g_n \equiv f - f_n$ . Now  $g_n^+ \rightarrow 0$  a.e.  $\mu$ , and  $g_n^+ \leq f$  which is integrable. Thus by the dominated convergence theorem  $\int g_n^+ d\mu \rightarrow 0$ . But

$$0 = \int g_n d\mu = \int_{\Omega} (f - f_n) d\mu = \int_{\Omega} (g_n^+ - g_n^-) d\mu,$$

so  $\int g_n^+ d\mu = \int g_n^- d\mu$ , and hence

$$\int |g_n| d\mu = \int g_n^+ d\mu + \int g_n^- d\mu = 2 \int g_n^+ d\mu \rightarrow 0,$$

proving the claimed convergence. To prove that equality holds as claimed in the statement of the proposition, note that for the event  $B \equiv [f - f_n \geq 0]$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} |\nu_n(A) - \nu(A)| &\geq |\nu_n(B) - \nu(B)| = \left| \int_{[f-f_n \geq 0]} (f_n - f) d\mu \right| \\ &= \int_{[g_n^+ \geq 0]} g_n^+ d\mu = \int g_n^+ d\mu \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int |f_n - f| d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

But on the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} |\nu_n(A) - \nu(A)| &= \left| \int_A f_n d\mu - \int_A f d\mu \right| \\ &= \left| \int_A (f - f_n) d\mu \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{A \cap B} (f - f_n) d\mu + \int_{A \cap B^c} (f - f_n) d\mu \right| \\ &\leq \int g_n^+ d\mu, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\sup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} |\nu_n(A) - \nu(A)| \leq \int g_n^+ d\mu = \frac{1}{2} \int |f_n - f| d\mu.$$

□

Now suppose that  $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{X}, \mu)$  and  $(\mathbb{Y}, \mathcal{Y}, \nu)$  are two  $\sigma$ -finite measure spaces. If  $A \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{Y}$ , a measurable rectangle is a set of the form  $A \times B \subset \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{Y}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \equiv \sigma(\{A \times B : A \in \mathcal{X}, B \in \mathcal{Y}\})$ . Define a measure  $\pi$  on  $(\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{Y}, \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y})$  by

$$\pi(A \times B) = \mu(A)\nu(B)$$

for measurable rectangles  $A \times B$ .

**Theorem 3.2 (Fubini - Tonelli theorem.)** Suppose that  $f : \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ -measurable and  $f \geq 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{Y}} f(x, y) d\nu(y) &\quad \text{is} \quad \mathcal{X} \text{- measurable ,} \\ \int_{\mathbb{X}} f(x, y) d\mu(x) &\quad \text{is} \quad \mathcal{Y} \text{- measurable ,} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{Y}} f(x, y) d\pi(x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{X}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{Y}} f(x, y) d\nu(y) \right\} d\mu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{Y}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{X}} f(x, y) d\mu(x) \right\} d\nu(y).$$

If  $f \in L_1(\pi)$  (so  $\int_{\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{Y}} |f| d\pi < \infty$ ), then (1) holds.