Bootstrap Limit Theory



Jon A. Wellner

University of Washington, Seattle

Research Day Talk, University of Washington September 27, 2010

- 1: Efron's nonparametric bootstrap.
- 2: Bootstrapping the mean: limit theory.
- 3: Bootstrapping the empirical process: limit theory.
- 4: Exchangeably weighted bootstrap: limit theory and a problem.
- 5: Conjectures, approaches, and connections.

• X_1, \ldots, X_n i.i.d. P on (S, \mathcal{S}) .

٠

- Empirical measure $\mathbb{P}_n = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{X_i}$.
- T(P) is some functional of P.
- Natural estimator of T(P): "plug-in" estimator $T(\mathbb{P}_n) \equiv T_n$.

Consider estimation of:

• A: $b_n(P) \equiv n\{E_P(T_n) - T(P)\}.$

• B:
$$n\sigma_n^2(P) \equiv nVar_P(T_n)$$
.

• C:
$$\kappa_{3,n}(P) \equiv E_P[T_n - E_P(T_n)]^3 / \sigma_n^3(P).$$

- D: $H_n(x,P) \equiv Pr_P(\sqrt{n}(T_n T(P)) \leq x).$
- E: $K_n(x, P) \equiv Pr_P(\sqrt{n} || \mathbb{P}_n P ||_{Kol} \le x).$
- F: $L_n(x, P) \equiv Pr_P(\sqrt{n} || \mathbb{P}_n P ||_{\mathcal{F}} \leq x)$ where \mathcal{F} is a class of functions for which the central limit theorem holds uniformly over \mathcal{F} (i.e. a *Donsker class*).

(ideal) nonparametric bootstrap estimates: estimate P by \mathbb{P}_n . This yields the following nonparametric bootstrap estimates in examples A - F: with $\hat{X}_1, \ldots, \hat{X}_n$ i.i.d. $\mathbb{P}_n, \hat{T}_n \equiv T(\hat{\mathbb{P}}_n)$,

• A':
$$b_n(\mathbb{P}_n) \equiv n\{E_{\mathbb{P}_n}(\widehat{T}_n) - T(\mathbb{P}_n)\}.$$

• B':
$$n\sigma_n^2(\mathbb{P}_n) \equiv nVar_{\mathbb{P}_n}(\widehat{T}_n).$$

• C':
$$\kappa_{3,n}(\mathbb{P}_n) \equiv E_{\mathbb{P}_n}[\widehat{T}_n - E_{\mathbb{P}_n}(\widehat{T}_n^*)]^3 / \sigma_n^3(\mathbb{P}_n).$$

• D':
$$H_n(x, \mathbb{P}_n) \equiv Pr_{\mathbb{P}_n}(\sqrt{n}(\widehat{T}_n - T(\mathbb{P}_n)) \leq x).$$

- E': $K_n(x, \mathbb{P}_n) \equiv Pr_{\mathbb{P}_n}(\sqrt{n} \| \widehat{\mathbb{P}}_n \mathbb{P}_n \|_{Kol} \le x).$ (S = R)
- F': $L_n(x, \mathbb{P}_n) \equiv Pr_{\mathbb{P}_n}(\sqrt{n} \| \widehat{\mathbb{P}}_n \mathbb{P}_n \|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq x)$, (S general) where \mathcal{F} is a class of real-valued functions for which the central limit theorem holds uniformly over \mathcal{F} (i.e. a *Donsker class*).

For estimation of T(P) by $T(\mathbb{P}_n)$ suppose that

$$\sqrt{n}(T(\mathbb{P}_n) - T(P)) \rightarrow_d N(0, V^2(P)).$$

Goal: show that the bootstrap estimator satisfies

 $\sqrt{n}(T(\widehat{\mathbb{P}}_n) - T(\mathbb{P}_n)) \rightarrow_d N(0, V^2(P))$ in probability or a.s. conditionally on X_1, \ldots, X_n (i.e. conditionally on \mathbb{P}_n).

•
$$T(P) = E_P(X) = \int x dP(x) \equiv \mu(P)$$
 when $S = R$.

• If $E_P(X^2) < \infty$, then by the classical (Lindeberg) CLT $\sqrt{n}(T(\mathbb{P}_n) - T(P)) = \sqrt{n}(\overline{X}_n - \mu(P)) \rightarrow_d N(0, Var_P(X)).$

The corresponding statement for the bootstrap is:

Theorem. (Bickel-Freedman, 1981) If $E_P X^2 < \infty$, then for a.e. sequence X_1, X_2, \ldots ,

$$\sqrt{n}(T(\widehat{\mathbb{P}}_n) - T(\mathbb{P}_n)) = \sqrt{n}(\overline{\widehat{X}}_n - \overline{X}_n) \rightarrow_d N(0, Var_P(X)).$$

Conclusion: The bootstrap "works" for estimation of the mean if $E_P(X^2) < \infty$.

3. Bootstrapping the empirical process: limit

theory

Empirical process notation and theory:

- X_1, \ldots, X_n i.i.d. P on (S, \mathcal{S})
- $\mathbb{P}_n = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{X_i} = \text{empirical measure.}$
- $\mathbb{G}_n \equiv \sqrt{n}(\mathbb{P}_n P) = \text{empirical process.}$
- $\mathcal{F}=$ a class of real-valued (measurable) functions defined on S

•
$$P(f) = \int f dP = E_P f(X),$$

 $\mathbb{P}_n(f) = \int f d\mathbb{P}_n = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i),$
 $\mathbb{G}_n(f) = \sqrt{n} (\mathbb{P}_n(f) - P(f)).$

- If $\mathcal{F} \subset L_1(P)$, then $\mathbb{P}_n(f) \to_{a.s.} P(f)$ for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$.
- If $\mathcal{F} \subset L_2(P)$, then $\mathbb{G}_n(f) = \sqrt{n}(\mathbb{P}_n(f) P(f)) \rightarrow_d \mathbb{G}_P(f) \sim N(0, Var_P(f(X)))$, and

$$\mathbb{G}_n \stackrel{f.d.}{\to} \mathbb{G}_P$$

3. Bootstrapping the empirical process: limit theory

Some terminology:

• \mathcal{F} is "P-Glivenko-Cantelli", or $\mathcal{F} \in GC(P)$, if

$$\|\mathbb{P}_n - P\|_{\mathcal{F}} \to_{a.s.} 0.$$

• \mathcal{F} is "P-Donsker", or $\mathcal{F} \in CLT(P)$, if

$$\mathbb{G}_n \Rightarrow \mathbb{G}_P$$
 in $\ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{F});$

where \mathbb{G}_P is a P-Brownian bridge process indexed by \mathcal{F} i.e.

$$E^*H(\mathbb{G}_n) \to EH(\mathbb{G}_P)$$

for all bounded and continuous functions $H : \ell(\mathcal{F}) \to R$.

3. Bootstrapping the empirical process: limit theory

Bootstrapping \mathbb{P}_n and \mathbb{G}_n :

- $\hat{X}_1, \ldots, \hat{X}_n$ i.i.d. \mathbb{P}_n (viewing \mathbb{P}_n as fixed).
- $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}_n = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{\widehat{X}_i} = \text{bootstrap empirical measure.}$
- $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_n = \sqrt{n}(\widehat{\mathbb{P}}_n \mathbb{P}_n)$ =bootstrap empirical process.
- Question: does $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_n$ mimic \mathbb{G}_n asymptotically?

3. Bootstrapping the empirical process: limit

theory

Answer: Yes! Let $F(x) \equiv \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} |f(x) - Pf|$ =centered envelope function for \mathcal{F} .

Theorem 1. (Giné and Zinn, 1990)

The following are equivalent:

- A. \mathcal{F} is P-Donsker; i.e. $\mathbb{G}_n \Rightarrow \mathbb{G}_P$ in $\ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{F})$.
- B. $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_n \Rightarrow \mathbb{G}_P$ in $\ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{P})$ "in probability" wrt \mathbb{P}_n .

Theorem 2. (Giné and Zinn, 1990)

The following are equivalent:

- A. \mathcal{F} is P-Donsker and $PF^2 < \infty$.
- B. $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_n \Rightarrow \mathbb{G}_P$ in $\ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{P})$ "almost surely" wrt \mathbb{P}_n .

Note that

$$\widehat{\mathbb{P}}_n \stackrel{d}{=} n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n M_{n,i} \delta_{X_i}$$

where

$$M_n \equiv (M_{n,1}, \ldots, M_{n,n}) \sim \mathsf{Multinomial}_n(n, (1/n, \ldots, 1/n)).$$

The representation $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}_n \stackrel{d}{=} n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n M_{n,i} \delta_{X_i}$ suggests that it might be interesting to replace the multinomial weights $\{M_{n,i} : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ in Efron's bootstrap by other (exchangeable) weights $\{W_{n,i} : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. Then

$$\widehat{\mathbb{P}}_n^W \equiv \widehat{\mathbb{P}}_n = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n W_{n,i} \delta_{X_i}.$$

Examples:

4. Exchangeably weighted bootstrapping

• $W_{n,i} = Y_i / \overline{Y}_i$ with Y_1, \ldots, Y_n i.i.d. non-negative rv's with

$$||Y||_{2,1} \equiv \int_0^\infty \sqrt{P(Y > t)} dt < \infty.$$

If $Y_i \sim \text{Exponential}(1)$, then $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}_n$ is called the "Bayesian bootstrap".

•
$$(W_{n,1},\ldots,W_{n,n}) = \sqrt{n/k}(M_{n,1},\ldots,M_{n,n})$$
 where
 $M_n \equiv (M_{n,1},\ldots,M_{n,n}) \sim \text{Multinomial}_n(k,(1/n,\ldots,1/n))$
• $w_n = (w_{n,1},\ldots,w_{n,n})$ and $W_n = (w_{n,R_1},\ldots,w_{n,R_n})$ where $R = (R_1,\ldots,R_n)$ is a random permutation of $\{1,\ldots,n\}$. With
 $1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$w_n = \left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{k(n-k)}}, \dots, \frac{n}{\sqrt{k(n-k)}}, 0, \dots, 0\right)$$

this gives random sampling without replacement from \mathbb{P}_n .

Conditions on the $W_{n,i}$'s: **W1** $\sup_{n\geq 1} \|W_{n,1} - \overline{W}_n\|_{2,1} < \infty$. **W2** $n^{-1/2}E \max_{1\leq i\leq n} |W_{n,i} - \overline{W}_n| \to 0$. **W3** $n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^n (W_{n,i} - \overline{W}_n)^2 \to_p c^2 > 0$. **Theorem. (Praestgaard & W, 1993)** A. If \mathcal{F} is \mathcal{P} -Donsker and W1-W3 hold, then

$$\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_{n}^{W} \equiv \sqrt{n} (\widehat{\mathbb{P}}_{n}^{W} - \mathbb{P}_{n}) \Rightarrow c \mathbb{G}_{P} \quad \text{in } \ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{F}).$$
(1)

in probability.

B. If \mathcal{F} is \mathcal{P} -Donsker, $PF^2 < \infty$, and W1-W3 hold, then (??) holds almost surely.

Problem:

- The theorems of Giné and Zinn (1990) are if and only if.
- Our theorem for $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}_n$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_n$ is in just one direction: $\mathcal{F} \in CLT(P)$ implies bootstrap convergence.
- Question: Do natural converse theorems hold in the case of $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}_n^W$? If $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_n^W \Rightarrow c \mathbb{G}_P$ in $\ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{F})$ in probability with c > 0, can we conclude that \mathcal{F} is P-Donsker?

Conjectured Theorem 1'. The following are equivalent:

A. \mathcal{F} is P-Donsker.

B. Some $\{W_n\}$ satisfying W1-W3 with c > 0, satisfies $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_n^W \Rightarrow c \mathbb{G}_P$ in $\ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{F})$ in probability (and then the same holds for all sequences $\{W_n\}$ satisfying W1-W3).

Conjectured Theorem 2'. The following are equivalent:

A. \mathcal{F} is P-Donsker and $PF^2 < \infty$.

B. Some $\{W_n\}$ satisfying W1-W3 with c > 0 satisfies $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_n^W \Rightarrow c \mathbb{G}_P$ in $\ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{F})$ almost surely (and then the same holds for all sequences $\{W_n\}$ satisfying W1-W3). • Q1. If for some $\{W_n\}$ satisfying W1-W3 with c > 0,

$$\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_n^W \Rightarrow c \mathbb{G}_P \quad \text{in } \ell^\infty(\mathcal{F}) \text{ in prob}$$
 (2)

does the same hold for Efron's (multinomial) bootstrap? That is, do we have

$$\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_n^M \equiv \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_n \Rightarrow \mathbb{G}_P$$
 in $\ell^\infty(\mathcal{F})$ in prob?

If so, then we're done!

- If (??) does the same hold with weights $\tilde{W}_{n,j} = Y_j/\overline{Y}_n$ for i.i.d. Y_j 's? If so, then we're done! Via Hoeffding's trick and the unconditional multiplier CLT.
- If (??) does the same hold with weights $\tilde{W}_{n,j} = Y_j$ for i.i.d. Y_j 's? If so, then we're done!
- Obstructions? Extreme cases?

Other research interests and projects

- Empirical process theory: tools for estimation theory.
- Shape constrained estimation:
 - Estimation of smooth functionals of the Grenander estimator and other shape-constrained estimators.
 - \triangleright Rates of convergence for shape-constrained estimators in $\mathbb R$ and $\mathbb R^d.$
- Semiparametric models:
 - Two phase sampling methods (with missing data by design).
 - Semiparametric theory for high-dimensional settings with many predictor variables.