Estimation and Testing with Shape Constraints Ten Open Problems

Jon A. Wellner

University of Washington

Estimation and Testing with Shape Constraints – p. 1/30

- Talk at University of Washington, Department of Statistics, Research Day, September 28, 2009
- Email: jaw@stat.washington.edu http: //www.stat.washington.edu/jaw/jaw.research.html

 Introduction: shape constraints, nonparametric estimation and testing

- Introduction: shape constraints, nonparametric estimation and testing
- Problems 1-4 from Gothenburg meeting: rearrangements versus maximum likelihood

- Introduction: shape constraints, nonparametric estimation and testing
- Problems 1-4 from Gothenburg meeting: rearrangements versus maximum likelihood
- Problems 5-6 from Gothenburg meeting: how big is the Grenander estimator at zero

- Introduction: shape constraints, nonparametric estimation and testing
- Problems 1-4 from Gothenburg meeting: rearrangements versus maximum likelihood
- Problems 5-6 from Gothenburg meeting: how big is the Grenander estimator at zero
- Four more problems involving shape constraints ... very briefly

Types of shape restrictions for functions on \mathbb{R} :

• Monotone

- Monotone
- Unimodal, antimodal, piecewise monotone

- Monotone
- Unimodal, antimodal, piecewise monotone
- Convex, concave, log-concave

- Monotone
- Unimodal, antimodal, piecewise monotone
- Convex, concave, log-concave
- k-monotone, completely monotone

- Monotone
- Unimodal, antimodal, piecewise monotone
- Convex, concave, log-concave
- k-monotone, completely monotone

• Density function f, or mass function $\{p_x : x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$

- Density function f, or mass function $\{p_x : x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$
- Regression function, r(x) = E(Y|X = x)

- Density function f, or mass function $\{p_x : x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$
- Regression function, r(x) = E(Y|X = x)
- Hazard function *h*:

$$h(x) = \frac{f(x)}{1 - F(x)}$$

- Density function f, or mass function $\{p_x : x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$
- Regression function, r(x) = E(Y|X = x)
- Hazard function *h*:

$$h(x) = \frac{f(x)}{1 - F(x)}$$

• Intensity function λ of a Poisson process

- Density function f, or mass function $\{p_x : x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$
- Regression function, r(x) = E(Y|X = x)
- Hazard function *h*:

$$h(x) = \frac{f(x)}{1 - F(x)}$$

- Intensity function λ of a Poisson process
- Distribution functions in interval censoring models (non-regular or "inverse problem")

- Density function f, or mass function $\{p_x : x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$
- Regression function, r(x) = E(Y|X = x)
- Hazard function *h*:

$$h(x) = \frac{f(x)}{1 - F(x)}$$

- Intensity function λ of a Poisson process
- Distribution functions in interval censoring models (non-regular or "inverse problem")
- Distribution functions in direct observation models (regular or "forward problem")

- Density function f, or mass function $\{p_x : x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$
- Regression function, r(x) = E(Y|X = x)
- Hazard function *h*:

$$h(x) = \frac{f(x)}{1 - F(x)}$$

- Intensity function λ of a Poisson process
- Distribution functions in interval censoring models (non-regular or "inverse problem")
- Distribution functions in direct observation models (regular or "forward problem")
- Spectral density function

- Density function f, or mass function $\{p_x : x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$
- Regression function, r(x) = E(Y|X = x)
- Hazard function *h*:

$$h(x) = \frac{f(x)}{1 - F(x)}$$

- Intensity function λ of a Poisson process
- Distribution functions in interval censoring models (non-regular or "inverse problem")
- Distribution functions in direct observation models (regular or "forward problem")
- Spectral density function
- • •

• Local (pointwise), or

- Local (pointwise), or
- Global (with some global measure of deviations):
 - Hellinger distance (densities or intensities)?
 - $\circ L_1$ or L_2 -distances?
 - o supremum metrics?

- Local (pointwise), or
- Global (with some global measure of deviations):
 - Hellinger distance (densities or intensities)?
 - $\circ L_1$ or L_2 -distances?
 - o supremum metrics?
- (Minimax) lower bounds for estimation?

- Local (pointwise), or
- Global (with some global measure of deviations):
 - Hellinger distance (densities or intensities)?
 - $\circ L_1$ or L_2 -distances?
 - o supremum metrics?
- (Minimax) lower bounds for estimation?
- (Minimax) upper bounds for estimation?

- Local (pointwise), or
- Global (with some global measure of deviations):
 - Hellinger distance (densities or intensities)?
 - $\circ L_1$ or L_2 -distances?
 - o supremum metrics?
- (Minimax) lower bounds for estimation?
- (Minimax) upper bounds for estimation?
- Comparison of Estimators

- Local (pointwise), or
- Global (with some global measure of deviations):
 - Hellinger distance (densities or intensities)?
 - $\circ L_1$ or L_2 -distances?
 - o supremum metrics?
- (Minimax) lower bounds for estimation?
- (Minimax) upper bounds for estimation?
- Comparison of Estimators
- Testing (within a shape constrained family)

- Local (pointwise), or
- Global (with some global measure of deviations):
 - Hellinger distance (densities or intensities)?
 - $\circ L_1$ or L_2 -distances?
 - o supremum metrics?
- (Minimax) lower bounds for estimation?
- (Minimax) upper bounds for estimation?
- Comparison of Estimators
- Testing (within a shape constrained family)
- Confidence sets?
 - Assuming shape constraint?
 - Testing to see if a shape constraint is true?

• Maximum likelihood?

- Maximum likelihood?
- Least squares (or minimum contrast) estimator?

- Maximum likelihood?
- Least squares (or minimum contrast) estimator?
- Bayes (shape restricted, nonparametric)?

- Maximum likelihood?
- Least squares (or minimum contrast) estimator?
- Bayes (shape restricted, nonparametric)?
- Isotonization of kernel or other smooth estimators?

- Maximum likelihood?
- Least squares (or minimum contrast) estimator?
- Bayes (shape restricted, nonparametric)?
- Isotonization of kernel or other smooth estimators?
- Minimum distance?

- Maximum likelihood?
- Least squares (or minimum contrast) estimator?
- Bayes (shape restricted, nonparametric)?
- Isotonization of kernel or other smooth estimators?
- Minimum distance?
- Rearrangement methods?

- Maximum likelihood?
- Least squares (or minimum contrast) estimator?
- Bayes (shape restricted, nonparametric)?
- Isotonization of kernel or other smooth estimators?
- Minimum distance?
- Rearrangement methods?
- . . .

2. Problems 1-4 from Gothenburg meeting

Monotone rearrangements estimator versus maximum likelihood?

Continuous setting X_1, \ldots, X_n i.i.d. with density f on $[0, \infty)$ where $f \searrow 0$. The Maximum Likelihood Estimator is

$$\widehat{f}_n = \operatorname{argmax}_{f \in \mathcal{M}_1} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \log f(X_i) \right\} = \text{the MLE}$$

= Grenander estimator of f.

From Grenander (1956), the MLE is characterized by the **Fenchel conditions**:

$$\mathbb{F}_n(x) \leq \widehat{F}_n(x) \equiv \int_0^x \widehat{f}_n(t) dt \quad \text{for all } x \in [0, \infty), \text{ and}$$
$$\mathbb{F}_n(x) = \widehat{F}_n(x) \quad \text{if and only if } \widehat{f}_n(x-) > \widehat{f}_n(x+).$$

The geometric interpretation of these two conditions is

$$\widehat{f}_{n}(x) = \begin{cases} \text{the left-derivative of the slope at } x \text{ of the} \\ \text{least concave majorant } \widehat{F}_{n} \text{ of } \mathbb{F}_{n} \end{cases}$$
$$\equiv \partial \mathcal{I}_{1}(\mathbb{F}_{n})$$





Monotone rearrangement estimator

• Monotone rearrangement, continuous case: $\hat{f}^{rearr} \equiv R(\tilde{f}_n)$ where

$$Z_f(s) = \lambda \{ x : f(x) \ge s \}, \qquad R(f)(x) = Z_f^{-1}(x).$$

Monotone rearrangement estimator

• Monotone rearrangement, continuous case: $\hat{f}^{rearr} \equiv R(\tilde{f}_n)$ where

$$Z_f(s) = \lambda \{ x : f(x) \ge s \}, \qquad R(f)(x) = Z_f^{-1}(x).$$

- Monotone rearrangement, discrete case: $\widehat{p}_n^{rearr} \equiv R(\widehat{p}_n)$ where

$$Z_p(s) = \#\{i \in \mathbb{N}^+ : p(i) \ge s\}, \qquad R(p)(i) = Z_p^{-1}(i).$$



















Estimation and Testing with Shape Constraints – p. 21/30

- $\{p_x: x \in \mathbb{N}\}$, a non-increasing mass function on \mathbb{N}
- $\widehat{p}_{n,x} \equiv n^{-1} \# \{ i \leq n : X_i = x \}$ for $x \in \mathbb{N}$.

•
$$\widehat{p}_{n,x} \equiv n^{-1} \# \{ i \leq n : X_i = x \}$$
 for $x \in \mathbb{N}$.

•
$$Y_{n,x} \equiv \sqrt{n}(\widehat{p}_{n,x} - p_x)$$
 for $x \in \mathbb{N}$.

•
$$\widehat{p}_{n,x} \equiv n^{-1} \# \{ i \leq n : X_i = x \}$$
 for $x \in \mathbb{N}$.

• $Y_{n,x} \equiv \sqrt{n}(\widehat{p}_{n,x} - p_x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{N}$.

•
$$Y_{n,x}^{\text{rear}} \equiv \sqrt{n} (\widehat{p}_{n,x}^{\text{rear}} - p_x)$$

•
$$\widehat{p}_{n,x} \equiv n^{-1} \# \{ i \leq n : X_i = x \}$$
 for $x \in \mathbb{N}$.

- $Y_{n,x} \equiv \sqrt{n}(\widehat{p}_{n,x} p_x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{N}$.
- $Y_{n,x}^{\text{rear}} \equiv \sqrt{n} (\hat{p}_{n,x}^{\text{rear}} p_x)$

•
$$Y_{n,x}^{\mathsf{Gren}} \equiv \sqrt{n}(\widehat{p}_{n,x}^{\mathsf{Gren}} - p_x)$$

•
$$\widehat{p}_{n,x} \equiv n^{-1} \# \{ i \leq n : X_i = x \}$$
 for $x \in \mathbb{N}$.

- $Y_{n,x} \equiv \sqrt{n}(\widehat{p}_{n,x} p_x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{N}$.
- $Y_{n,x}^{\text{rear}} \equiv \sqrt{n}(\widehat{p}_{n,x}^{\text{rear}} p_x)$
- $Y_{n,x}^{\mathsf{Gren}} \equiv \sqrt{n}(\widehat{p}_{n,x}^{\mathsf{Gren}} p_x)$
- Y_x a Gaussian process on \mathbb{N} with $EY_x = 0$,

$$Cov(Y_x, Y_{x'}) = p_x \delta_{x,x'} - p_x p_{x'}.$$

•
$$\widehat{p}_{n,x} \equiv n^{-1} \# \{ i \leq n : X_i = x \}$$
 for $x \in \mathbb{N}$.

- $Y_{n,x} \equiv \sqrt{n}(\widehat{p}_{n,x} p_x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{N}$.
- $Y_{n,x}^{\text{rear}} \equiv \sqrt{n}(\widehat{p}_{n,x}^{\text{rear}} p_x)$
- $Y_{n,x}^{\mathsf{Gren}} \equiv \sqrt{n}(\widehat{p}_{n,x}^{\mathsf{Gren}} p_x)$
- Y_x a Gaussian process on \mathbb{N} with $EY_x = 0$,

$$Cov(Y_x, Y_{x'}) = p_x \delta_{x,x'} - p_x p_{x'}.$$

• Define processes Y^R and Y^G in terms of Y as follows:

•
$$\widehat{p}_{n,x} \equiv n^{-1} \# \{ i \leq n : X_i = x \}$$
 for $x \in \mathbb{N}$.

- $Y_{n,x} \equiv \sqrt{n}(\widehat{p}_{n,x} p_x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{N}$.
- $Y_{n,x}^{\text{rear}} \equiv \sqrt{n}(\widehat{p}_{n,x}^{\text{rear}} p_x)$
- $Y_{n,x}^{\mathsf{Gren}} \equiv \sqrt{n}(\widehat{p}_{n,x}^{\mathsf{Gren}} p_x)$
- Y_x a Gaussian process on \mathbb{N} with $EY_x = 0$,

$$Cov(Y_x, Y_{x'}) = p_x \delta_{x,x'} - p_x p_{x'}.$$

- Define processes Y^R and Y^G in terms of Y as follows:
 - Decompose \mathbb{N} as a disjoint union, $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{k \ge 1} \{r_k, \dots s_k\}, r_k \le s_k,$

 $p_{r_k} = \cdots = p_x = \cdots = p_{s_k}$ and $p_{s_k} > p_{r_{k+1}}$, $k \ge 1$.

•
$$\widehat{p}_{n,x} \equiv n^{-1} \# \{ i \leq n : X_i = x \}$$
 for $x \in \mathbb{N}$.

- $Y_{n,x} \equiv \sqrt{n}(\widehat{p}_{n,x} p_x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{N}$.
- $Y_{n,x}^{\text{rear}} \equiv \sqrt{n}(\widehat{p}_{n,x}^{\text{rear}} p_x)$
- $Y_{n,x}^{\mathsf{Gren}} \equiv \sqrt{n}(\widehat{p}_{n,x}^{\mathsf{Gren}} p_x)$
- Y_x a Gaussian process on \mathbb{N} with $EY_x = 0$,

$$Cov(Y_x, Y_{x'}) = p_x \delta_{x,x'} - p_x p_{x'}.$$

- Define processes Y^R and Y^G in terms of Y as follows:
 - Decompose \mathbb{N} as a disjoint union, $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{k \ge 1} \{r_k, \dots s_k\}$, $r_k \le s_k$,

 $p_{r_k} = \cdots = p_x = \cdots = p_{s_k}$ and $p_{s_k} > p_{r_{k+1}}$, $k \ge 1$.

• For each r_k, s_k pair, say r, s define $Y^{(r,s)} = (Y_r, \ldots, Y_s)$.

•
$$\widehat{p}_{n,x} \equiv n^{-1} \# \{ i \leq n : X_i = x \}$$
 for $x \in \mathbb{N}$.

- $Y_{n,x} \equiv \sqrt{n}(\widehat{p}_{n,x} p_x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{N}$.
- $Y_{n,x}^{\text{rear}} \equiv \sqrt{n}(\widehat{p}_{n,x}^{\text{rear}} p_x)$
- $Y_{n,x}^{\mathsf{Gren}} \equiv \sqrt{n}(\widehat{p}_{n,x}^{\mathsf{Gren}} p_x)$
- Y_x a Gaussian process on \mathbb{N} with $EY_x = 0$,

$$Cov(Y_x, Y_{x'}) = p_x \delta_{x,x'} - p_x p_{x'}.$$

- Define processes Y^R and Y^G in terms of Y as follows:
 - Decompose \mathbb{N} as a disjoint union, $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{k \ge 1} \{r_k, \dots s_k\}$, $r_k \le s_k$,

 $p_{r_k} = \cdots = p_x = \cdots = p_{s_k}$ and $p_{s_k} > p_{r_{k+1}}$, $k \ge 1$.

- For each r_k, s_k pair, say r, s define $Y^{(r,s)} = (Y_r, \ldots, Y_s)$.
- $Y_x^R = \operatorname{rear}(Y^{(r,s)})_x$ and $Y_x^G = \operatorname{Gren}(Y^{(r,s)})_x$.

Theorem. (Jankowski and Wellner, 2009)

$$(Y_n, Y_n^R, Y_n^G) \Rightarrow (Y, Y^R, Y^G)$$

in $\ell_2 \times \ell_2 \times \ell_2$ where $\ell_2 \equiv \{\{y_x\}: \sum_{x \ge 0} y_x^2 < \infty\}$.

Corollary 1. If $p_{x+1} < p_x$ for all $x \ge 0$, then

$$(Y_n, Y_n^R, Y_n^G) \Rightarrow (Y, Y, Y)$$

in $\ell_2 \times \ell_2 \times \ell_2$. In this case the three estimators are asymptotically equivalent.

Corollary 2. If $p_x = (y+1)^{-1} 1_{\{0,...,y\}}(x)$, then

 $(Y_n, Y_n^R, Y_n^G) \Rightarrow (Y, \operatorname{rear}(Y), \operatorname{Gren}(Y)),$

and ...

$$\begin{split} E\|Y_n\|_2^2 &= nE\left\{\sum_{x=0}^y (\widehat{p}_{n,x} - p_x)^2\right\} \to E\|Y_x\|_2^2 = 1 - \frac{1}{y+1},\\ E\|Y_n^R\|_2^2 &= nE\left\{\sum_{x=0}^y (\widehat{p}_{n,x}^{\mathsf{rear}} - p_x)^2\right\} \to E\|\mathsf{rear}(Y)\|_2^2 = 1 - \frac{1}{y+1},\\ E\|Y_n^G\|_2^2 &= nE\left\{\sum_{x=0}^y (\widehat{p}_{n,x}^{\mathsf{Gren}} - p_x)^2\right\} \to E\|\mathsf{Gren}(Y)\|_2^2\\ &= \frac{1}{y+1}\sum_{x=1}^{y+1} \frac{1}{x} \sim \frac{\log(y+1)}{y}. \end{split}$$

Hence \hat{p}_n^{rear} is (asymptotically) inadmissible!

What is the problem?

Proposition. $\{p_x\}$ is monotone decreasing if and only if it is a mixture of uniform mass functions $(y+1)^{-1}1_{\{0,...,y\}}(x)$:

$$p_x = \sum_{y=0}^{\infty} (y+1)^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{\{0,\dots,y\}}(x) q_y$$

for some probability mass function $\{q_y\}$. The inversion formula is given by

$$q_y = -(y+1)\Delta p_y \equiv -(y+1)(p_{y+1}-p_y).$$

Thus we can define two estimators of q:

$$\begin{split} \widehat{q}_{n,y}^{\text{rear}} &\equiv -(y+1)(\widehat{p}_{n,y+1}^{\text{rear}} - \widehat{p}_{n,y}^{\text{rear}}), \\ \widehat{q}_{n,y}^{\text{Gren}} &\equiv -(y+1)(\widehat{p}_{n,y+1}^{\text{Gren}} - \widehat{p}_{n,y}^{\text{Gren}}) \end{split}$$

Define processes Z_n , Z_n^R , Z_n^G by

$$Z_{n,x} \equiv \sqrt{n}(\widehat{q}_{n,x} - q_x),$$

$$Z_{n,x}^R \equiv \sqrt{n}(\widehat{q}_{n,x}^{\text{rearr}} - q_x),$$

$$Z_{n,x}^G \equiv \sqrt{n}(\widehat{q}_{n,x}^{\text{Gren}} - q_x),$$

We know that if $\sum_{x\geq 0} x^2 p_x = E(X^2) < \infty$, then

$$\mathbb{Z}_n \Rightarrow Z \equiv \{-(x+1)\Delta Y_x\} \quad \text{in } \ell_2.$$

• Problem 1. If $\sum_{x\geq 0} x^2 p_x < \infty$, does it hold that

$$\mathbb{Z}_n^R \Rightarrow Z^R \equiv \{-(x+1)\Delta Y_x^R\} \quad \text{in } \ell_2;$$
$$\mathbb{Z}_n^G \Rightarrow Z^G \equiv \{-(x+1)\Delta Y_x^G\} \quad \text{in } \ell_2?$$

• Problem 2. If $\{p_x\}$ is strictly decreasing, for what sequences a_n, b_n (with $a_n/\sqrt{n} \to \infty, b_n/\sqrt{n} \to \infty$) does it hold that

$$a_n \|\widehat{p}_n^{\mathsf{rearr}} - \widehat{p}_n\|_2 \to_{p,a.s.} 0,$$

$$b_n \|\widehat{p}_n^{\mathsf{Gren}} - \widehat{p}_n\|_2 \to_{p,a.s.} 0?$$

• Problem 2. If $\{p_x\}$ is strictly decreasing, for what sequences a_n, b_n (with $a_n/\sqrt{n} \to \infty, b_n/\sqrt{n} \to \infty$) does it hold that

$$a_n \|\widehat{p}_n^{\mathsf{rearr}} - \widehat{p}_n\|_2 \to_{p,a.s.} 0,$$

$$b_n \|\widehat{p}_n^{\mathsf{Gren}} - \widehat{p}_n\|_2 \to_{p,a.s.} 0?$$

• Problem 3. When (or in exactly what senses) does \hat{q}_n^{Gren} beat \hat{q}_n^{rearr} ?

• Problem 2. If $\{p_x\}$ is strictly decreasing, for what sequences a_n, b_n (with $a_n/\sqrt{n} \to \infty, b_n/\sqrt{n} \to \infty$) does it hold that

$$a_n \|\widehat{p}_n^{\mathsf{rearr}} - \widehat{p}_n\|_2 \to_{p,a.s.} 0,$$

$$b_n \|\widehat{p}_n^{\mathsf{Gren}} - \widehat{p}_n\|_2 \to_{p,a.s.} 0?$$

- Problem 3. When (or in exactly what senses) does \hat{q}_n^{Gren} beat \hat{q}_n^{rearr} ?
- Problem 4. What are the analogues of these results when $\{p_s\}$ is k-monotone; i.e. when

$$p_x = \sum_{y=0}^{\infty} \frac{(y-x)_+^{k-1}}{\sum_{x'=0}^{y} (y-x')^{k-1}} q_y$$

for some probability mass function $\{q_y\}$?

3. Problems 5-6 from Gothenburg meeting

Known from Woodroofe and Sun (1993): in the continuous case, the Grenander estimator \hat{f}_n of a decreasing density is not consistent at zero:

$$\widehat{f}_n(0) \to_d f_0(0) Y_1 \equiv f_0(0) \sup_{t>0} \frac{\mathbb{N}(t)}{t} \stackrel{d}{=} f_0(0) U^{-1}$$

where $U \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 1)$.

Question: If f_0 is not bounded at zero, what is the behavior of $\widehat{f}_n(0)$?

Theorem. (Balabdaoui, Jankowski, Pavlides, Seregin and W, 2009): Suppose that F_0 is regularly varying at 0 with exponent $\gamma \in (0, 1]$. Then with a_n satisfying $nF_0(a_n) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$na_n \widehat{f}_n(ta_n) \Rightarrow \widehat{h}_\gamma(t) \quad \text{in } D[0,\infty)$$

where \hat{h}_{γ} is the right derivative of the least concave majorant of $\mathbb{N}(t^{\gamma})$ and \mathbb{N} is a standard Poisson process.

Now suppose that f_0 is k-monotone on $(0, \infty)$ with $k \ge 2$; i.e.

$$f(x) = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{y^k} (y - x)_+^{k-1} dG(y)$$

for some probability distribution G.

Problem 5. If f_0 is k-monotone, what is the behavior of $\hat{f}_n(0)$? Problem 6. If f_0 is completely monotone (i.e. representable as a scale mixture of exponentials), what is the behavior of $\hat{f}_n(0)$?

4. Four more problems involving shape constraints ... very briefly